Subject: OT: Political IQ Test Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Tue, 10 Feb 2004 18:39:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

majikentEven if you believe that the reasons for going into Iraq were false, doesn't make them less of a threat.

Actually, Saddam not having weapons of mass destruction DOES make him less of a threat than if he did. A lot less.

majikentI'm glad my money is going to help the Iraqi people start a better life with out Saddam's rule.

Yeah, instead of helping out those dumb 'ole people on welfare in our own country, lets go attack another and shove freedom down their throats. Who cares if people die? And not everyone on welfare is on it because they don't want to work. Granted, some are, but others might be on welfare because they grew up in a poverty household and went to a bad school system. Why should anyone help them?

majikentYou have to remember that you don't speak for everyone

When did I say I was speaking for everyone? I said taxpayer dollars were going in to a war that was started on false pretexts, like WMDs, which President Bush said that he knew where there, and now he is coming out saying that he was wrong and acted incorrectly on intelligence reports, when he really lied to the American people.

majikentThese two statements are completely different. Your first statement first states the speech was slightly flawed, then immediately stated that it's mostly flawed. That's called contradiction. This is the reason it makes no sense. Your second statement, on the other hand, does make sense. It says it's bullshit, then states it does have some truths, then reiterates that it's mostly bullshit. A structurally valid statement, even if the premises of it are false. I hope you understand that now, and at least learn from your mistakes. Now if only you'd just stop posting. Or at least start posting with what most others would call grammar and sentence structure. Also, if you're going to use big words like "monosyllabically", you might want to make sure you spell them right first.

Instead of poking out grammar imperfections, can we stay on topic?