

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sat, 07 Feb 2004 05:53:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:But then again, the UN defines the WMD's as those made specifically for mass destruction, not weapons that you put on your lawn to encourage growth.

But then you said it best...

Quote:

Improvised weapons can be obtained by legal means, and are legal right up until you assemble them

That's what I was trying to point out- we may never know what exactly he was capable of, simply because the weapons may be improvised rather than "official" weapons. You can't arrest someone based on what they COULD do with something, even if you know that they WOULD do it. The thing is, the statement that Iraq had NO WMD capabilities, with all those nerve and blister agents sitting around, is pure bull. Saddam HAD the capability, but it was not in the form anyone was expecting.

Unfortunately, the ability of a person like Saddam to produce such weapons apparently does not equate to a threat in most peoples' minds, nor do they see that as justification for an invasion. You have to watch him use one first.[/sarcasm] :rolleyes:

The invasion of Iraq was NOT started under false pretenses. The administration and the CIA are both being stupid by caving in to the negative media pressure and presenting a false apology. Lies may have been told; that is the nature of intelligence- you don't tell people all of what you know, because that would be a severe security breach. The administration has done nothing wrong that I can see besides apologizing for a mistake which was not made.

---