Subject: OT: Political IQ Test Posted by U927 on Fri, 06 Feb 2004 03:23:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxActually, I didn't want the news when Saddam was captured. I've learned that the on-the-spot news has revealed half-truths and biased propaganda. I'm completely serious, so don't get the impression that I'm attacking you by saying that.

See, the problem with proving that Iraq had no connections to 9/11 is like trying to find a needle in a haystack. Media can be twisted to support a certain idea, circumstantial evidence, or political sway. This has been proven time and time again. If you need proof, just look at different news sites and look at the avid differences in opinion.

I wholeheartedly agree with you on this one. Today in my debate class we were discussing propaganda, and the issue of media came up.

For example: 9/11. Our entire focus goes to Afghanistan and the capture of Osama. Then, after the Afghani conflict is resolved, another issue pops up: North Korea and its nuclear arsenal. Afterwards, Iraq. We fought a "war" (if you really want to call it one) and won. Seven months later we capture Hussein. Now what's on the news. Janet Jackson's boob.

What I find incredulous is the way people interpret the media. They see all the negative things going on in the country because that is all the media focuses on. Therefore, they can go and say that all the bad things happening are due to the administration. This applies to both parties, so don't go saying "LEIK OGM Y R U SUPOTRING TEM!!!!111".

It's all about the ratings. The "big issues" in the presidential debates are mostly going to be red herrings.