
Subject: Re: fredcow logic

Posted by [InternetThug](#) on Sat, 16 Mar 2013 15:37:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sat, 16 March 2013 05:56 Jesse wrote on Fri, 15 March 2013 10:36 All this and you still are avoiding the initial question? Really? Haha too funny. Why are you more entitled to having your questions answered than I am?
two reasons

1. I already answered your question. you can lie about what happened all you like, but the thing about forums is people can see what you said and what I said.
2. your question was inane and pointless, whereas my question was challenging you to back up some accusations you made

Quote:We're both playing the same game, only difference is you've yet to respond to the question that I asked you first. Really this whole debate could have been avoided if you'd just answer it. it could also be avoided if you weren't obsessed with whether or not I've lost internet arguments or not; you certainly care about it much more than I do

Quote:It was a matter of opinion on your side so I don't really see how there can be evidence for it.

any old excuse eh? if I really was guilty of these underhand debate tactics you've accused me of, if I do it all the time as you keep saying, why can't you prove it? I haven't gone back and deleted posts from old arguments, so why can't you prove I did what you said I did? This would be A LOT easier to prove than someone was in-game cheating, because all the posts are still there; it's like you have the whole game on fraps.

so why can't you? everybody knows why you can't... because you were lying

Quote:It's funny though all these years you've been accusing people of not having lives, now that's it's turned on you you couldn't give a shit apparently? Funny how the stance has changed when you take a second to look in the mirror.

What? Are you confusing me with someone else? I don't care whether someone else "has a life" or not. I don't even recognise that as a coherent accusation. In my mind, having a life means doing what you want to do, it's that simple. I've been saying that for years, actually...

Quote:Liquid just shut up because anything you say is ad hominem trying to insult my intelligence and it just fails

Nobody needs to insult your intelligence. Since you're quite happy to attempt to diagnose complex mental issues over the internet, you won't mind my observation that you are not only thoroughly obnoxious, you also are clearly not very intelligent. One doesn't need qualifications in psychology to see that.

It's not your fault, of course, though being so obnoxious probably is.

Quote:I don't know where your ego comes from and the subtle arrogant undertones in everything you write is really pathetic; you have nothing to be proud of.

You're studying psychology and you don't know where a person's ego comes from? Seriously? Do

you even know what ego means? You throw the word around an awful lot, but... I suspect you mean egotism, which is just not the same thing. It's a forgivable mistake for anybody who isn't throwing their weight around as a big bad psychologist making these ridiculous attempts to diagnose other people's mental illnesses; you could at least try to sound like you know the basics.

Quote:I can't even bother rebutting what you say because you'll just try to Spoony me but it fails so hard.

Yeah, every time you can't rebut someone it's always because they're cheating, isn't it? Like I said, you're exactly the same as the guy who cries *cheat name removed*every time he loses. It is exactly the same situation. To carry the analogy over, you're doing the debate equivalent of repeatedly falling out of your apache and accusing the enemy of using some cheat that MAKES you fall out. One of these days you will figure out that you're just not very good at debating, because you're fundamentally dishonest, not very intelligent, overly obnoxious, and rarely if ever have the facts on your side.

Quote:Spoonny I know you read this forum at least once a day because any time your name is mentioned you respond within 24 hours (it's not a coincidence every time, and also it's hilarious how you have a 6th sense for knowing you're mentioned, clearly gotta search your name every day and make sure no one is bashing your e-rep).

Really? It seems like there's a simple way to check this - we can search for "spoonny", look at the first batch of results - let's say the first page - and see how many of those I replied to in 24 hours. But is there any point? If it turns out you're wrong again, will you just dodge it again and go on to the next accusation, like you've done thus far?

I probably confused you a bit there when I proposed how to check it, because ljbh you do seem rather allergic to evidence; look at your abysmal failure to back up your earlier accusations, look at that healthcare thread where Aircraftkiller kept asking you for citations for what you were saying and you failed just as badly there.

Quote:No and neither does liquid but they're the two biggest e-warriors around because renforums is obviously a place that gives them a sense of comfort and is very important to keep a reputation on.

Can you quickly just explain what an "e-warrior" is, and why you aren't one?

Quote:Lol okay? Captain retard comes out of left field. I'm still not seeing where I accused him of manipulation and lies ..

Wow, seriously?

On page one you said something which I didn't respond to at the time because I figured you must have been joking. You said talking to me makes you forget what you were talking about.

Seriously? On a FORUM, where you can go back and look at what you were talking about any time you like? I didn't think you actually meant that seriously, but now... dude. Think about this.

You've already forgotten calling me a liar (which doesn't really surprise me, because you obviously don't think honesty is worth much), and accusing me of underhand debate tactics? Barely two days ago and you've already forgotten? That is worrying.

Quote:I'm just asking if he's ever lost an arguement in his own mind. Should be a simple yes or no answer .. nothing to slit your wrists over.

You're right with the last part - because the answer is "who cares?" I certainly don't. Why do you?

Quote:I know you hate to see your god be questioned but I promise its not a tough one. God damn you people are fucking weird. This is such a simple question and you're all acting like I'm accusing him of pedophilia. The fact he keeps dodging and hoping his fan base cover his ass is even funnier than the fact that you cockriders actually attempt to.

I don't particularly care whether or not anyone else thinks you're making a fool of yourself too.

edit: as to your fury towards starbuzz, remember what I said a few posts ago. when someone agrees with you against me, it proves you're right; when someone agrees with me against you, there must be something fishy going on

Ok so have you ever lost an argument or not?
