
Subject: Re: St0rm "Truth"

Posted by [falcod](#) on Fri, 02 Mar 2012 22:58:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

reckneya wrote on Fri, 02 March 2012 04:17falcod wrote on Thu, 01 March 2012 18:02
if hitler or osama opened a game server with no strings attached and the gameplay was fun,
would I play on it? The answer is Yes

So you would play on a server hosted by Hitler or Osama Bin Laden? Wow. Thank you for confirming what we already knew: You're an immoral imbecile. And that goes for anyone at st0rm that agrees with your analogy. And that's exactly why nobody gives a shit about st0rm closing. It's actually quite entertaining.

have u read absolutely nothing beyond that point? Be logical for a moment and tell me what's wrong with playing on a game server hosted by hitler or osama... Are you somehow funding them or supporting them in any way? If anything all you're doing is taking resources from them without giving them anything whatsoever... In other words, you're making them waste time, money, electricity, hardware, cpu cycles, internet bandwidth, money, etc on catering to your gaming needs... If you didn't play there, they would be able to use these resources for other things like more cyberterrorism lol.

I've already made extensive efforts to try and disspell your crazed delusions that everything touched by evil is evil by association, but you just keep harping on trying to show the magnitude of the evil one rather than explaining why the hell the association makes others evil.

So let's try a few more analogies to try and rid you of this evil by association fallacy. Granted these types of associations are different from the game server/player association, but you have to tell me what the difference is that makes it so special that evil can be transferred over the game server/player association but not the other ones.

Are the children of murderers evil by association? You can argue that they aren't evil by association because they aren't associated by choice. Then consider the flip side... are parents of murderers evil by association? They can easily lose the association by disowning the child. Are those parents who don't disown their murderer children considered as evil?

What about people who work at an industrial plant that causes rampant pollution problems because the owner doesn't care enough to fix the problems. Are those people evil because they willingly work at the plant when there are other jobs available? What about if there are no other decent jobs available?

please enlighten me on why some of these associations will cause evil to flow across but not others.

Let's try another one that's actually pretty close to home... Imagine there's a horrible dictator in some country that carries out terrorist acts in other countries. Are the civilians in that country evil by association? Surely they could leave the country and find some welcoming communities in other countries to join and just somehow deal with the huge cultural differences, but instead they just stay within that country instead of leaving... does that make them evil? After all, them staying

in that country in this case IS actually funding the dictator's power, since they are paying tax dollars...not to mention if all the civilians had the balls to carry out a revolution they could overthrow the dictator, yet they don't. In that case, does it make it ok for other countries to nuke the dictator's country and kill all the civilians? If we think about fairness, that dictator's country certainly caused the death of several millions of others by terrorist bombings, so wiping out millions of their civilians who are staying and evil by association via nukes seems to make things fair doesn't it? Even though the dictator will probably survive seeing as hes at the top of the country and will escape to a nuclear shelter before the nuke lands, and proceed to go into hiding and never get caught... There are many who think this method is fine, but personally this just seems wrong to me.

Most of the civilians probably don't approve of the actions of their dictator, but just want to live in peace without being forced to relocate to other countries and deal with cultural differences, and don't want to burn their country to ruins to start a civil war to overthrow the dictator. Heck in this case it is highly unlikely even a combined union of all the citizens could overthrow the dictator, since all the weapons are in the hands of the dictator. Thus they are stained with this evil by association, even though they didn't have any evil intent. I'm sorry that I'm not clever enough to think up of alternative options, but it just seems that this isn't an acceptable option either.

I get what you're saying liquid. I just hoped that at least people would recognize this isn't a good approach either, even though it seems to be the only one. at the very least, they shouldn't be acting all proud and thinking justice is served and laughing at the new victims and saying they deserved it or are aligned with evil, when in fact the guy who caused all the problems in the first place probably isn't affected at all.
