
Subject: Re: Questions I would like to pose to athiests
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 30 Jan 2012 21:34:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 30 January 2012 13:38 Anyone who claims to be a true supporter of science should know there are no such things as absolute truths. And they should also know that science is not an opponent of religion or the belief in a God or deity.
unless the religion makes claims about the way stuff is, as they so frequently do.

Quote: Saying, as fact, that there is no God is the foolish thing. It's just as foolish as saying, as fact, that there is a God.
may i ask why you used a capital G in 'god'? is it because you're referring to a specific god?

Quote: The absence of proof for one side of an argument is not proof for the other side.
depends what you think "the other side" is. despite the ludicrous earlier post, an atheist needn't assert the non-existence of this or that god; an atheist can simply reject religion, and/or say they do not find any of these religions convincing. the complete absence of evidence for this or that religion does support this atheist position.

Quote: Theists, for the most part, are peacefully misguided people following a simple ideology/concept that has been around since ancient times. Granted, some are less peaceful than others, most tend to stay to themselves.
it often depends whether they have power or not.

Quote: Atheists, for the most part, are pretty much the same as stated above. Except for the peaceful part and the staying to themselves part. They tend to be the type of person who will cram their beliefs down your throat if you even hint and the idea of a God or deity.
when you say "cram their beliefs down my throat", is it possible what you actually mean is "engage in civilised conversation"? cos that's what people who say that usually turn out to mean

Quote: Atheists also tend to have a "moocher" layer of people who are merely calling themselves Atheists because they are too lazy and too ignorant to follow a religious belief. IE "God can't exist because I don't feel like reading the Bible."
where did you see anyone say that? is it possible you just made it up?

Quote: Neither is proven true (or false) and both are merely religious beliefs. And yes, for your moochers, BOTH are religious beliefs. Atheism is a rejection of a God, not a rejection of religion since the rejection of a God is a religion in and of itself.

That's why the only true belief, for anyone who holds logic above all that they believe, is Agnosticism.
there are at least three words here you seem to have pretty weird definitions for.

Quote: That's why the only true belief, for anyone who holds logic above all that they believe, is Agnosticism. The position of understanding that there is no definitive proof for or against the existence of a supreme, mighty deity.

The position of understanding that there is no definitive proof for or against the existence of a

supreme, mighty deity.

k, swap the christian god for Zeus. no proof for him, and you can't strictly prove his non-existence either (and that's even after we more or less know how and why thunder and lightning happens). one group of people says zeus is real and spends time praying to him in the expectation that he can hear them, the other group goes about their business as if zeus were fictional.

if you think both of these positions are equally supported by the lack of evidence for zeus, you're a fool.
