

---

Subject: Re: Atomix

Posted by [dippy](#) on Mon, 25 Jul 2011 03:57:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Caveman wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 03:46

Noone has said that you've haven't put a lot of time into the community, I'm not trying to argue your position or anything like that however, I am quoting X here 'Inactivity is inactivity' his words mate, not mine. This is why I said well what bout you, Elmo and other mods, it was nothing personal it was me proving that my removal wasn't because I was AFK. Yes we need a hierarchy, of course, however people should still get treated the same whether they're a halfy thats been here for 2 days or an admin thats been here since the beginning.

The same treatment, to a great extent. However at some point there is a line drawn in the sand. That's what makes it a hierarchy, which we now agree is necessary.

Caveman wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 03:46

Just because you, elmo, x, hypnos or whoever have been here longer that doesn't mean that you're more important or that you can have the rules bent for you. This is where I get pissy, rules are rules everyone should abide by them.

What rules are we talking about here? The appointment of staff, who is appointed and to what level has always been at the discretion of the senior staff. It's an executive decision.

Caveman wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 03:46

I hope that doesn't apply to me, I can't see how I would have done more harm then good, I can't see how I did any harm but ok. As far as I know I didn't lose anyones respect albeit Xperts which is to be expected. I still had senior stuff say to me even though what im saying is right and they agree with me, its X's server.

It certainly does apply to you. You have to realise that your approach to these issues has the capacity to do more harm than good. Sometimes the path of least resistance makes things better off for the community. You might be sacrificing your own morals in part, which is something you're not clearly able to do, but I'd urge you to consider whether it would have been beneficial to the community to have done so, given the situation we're in now.

You had senior staff agree with you, including me, on some issues. However, have you asked yourself why you didn't always get our public support? The answer is that we have more to consider than how it might conflict your high moral standards, namely what is overall less disruptive and better off for the community, given what state it was in at the time. It's an important consideration you've failed to make over and over again.

Caveman wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 03:46

I've said this many times, yes its his server he can do what he likes with the server, however you can't run a community like that, when you do this happens, its not the first time this has happened and im not the only one to have stood up and said so. Yes being a lesser staff I should accept

when X has made the final decision but if me and most of the other staff thinks its the wrong one then we should be allowed to express our opinions and ask why he has decided to choose it. Not just go 'My server sit the fuck down' You wouldn't run Atomix like this, so surely you should understand where I am coming from.

Correction: in your opinion, you can't run a community like that. I've said a number of times now that it's not necessarily the way I would run things. However, I have respect for the approach he has chosen, and I've worked out how I can best work within those bounds to aid the community. Through that avenue, we've had joint successes, and he and I have a good working relationship. Contrast now to your approach, and ask yourself what has been more beneficial to the community.

Caveman wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 03:46

I'm not trying to get my mod back, I know X isn't gonna drop his ego and meet me half way,(even though I said to you both privately that fine i'll do it your way and i'd bounce on his epenis because I wanted what was best for Atomix and I still got whats the word... IGNORED.) that's not the point of this topic (as it is now) I want it known that X is a prick and if you don't do as he says he will just make up some bollox to get rid of you.

As I have said already, he can hire/fire who he wants but I just wanted the public to know his actual reasons behind it not that BS he used to cover it up so when others decide to join atomix as a part of the community they know what they're getting themselves in for.

So what exactly is the real reason Xpert removed you? Duck, you might pay attention here too. Everyone keeps banging on about how we're hiding the real reasons you were removed, and yet are hesitant to name them. Who ever said you don't have to get along with the owner to be on our staff? I think a least having respect for each other is a very important start. Which you two clearly don't have, despite who is to blame. Given the level of dislike and disrespect between you, you can't have ever expected that to be an effective situation. Something had to give, and how you ever thought it was going to be him is beyond me.

VuLTiMa wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 04:12

Me and Italy to begin with hardly did more harm that good, we were the only active mods actually doing something for a good 4 months, and hardly called people out, what I figure is, Italy saw Atomix was doing something stupid and decided to go out with a bang.

You were active mods in the sense that you were moderating the server often. In that way, you were doing good for the community and I don't dispute it. In a lot of other ways (as I pointed out above), your actions were detrimental.

VuLTiMa wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 04:12

I kept my mouth shut until my status was removed, as did Caveman, to keep the peace with Xpert, if Caveman didn't agree with Xpert, I didn't see him going "OMGWTFSHITDECISION", as people somehow appear to think.

I cannot recall one topic where he did that on a minor scale - the part when he spoke out about

needing more senior mods, which was totally a good decision, as Xpert seems to be hated by a lot of people and the server kept getting downed, and the serial to it changed, meaning during the early hours of american/candian time, but UK morning, the server would be down and as usual it would just be me italy caveman and hypnos and would have to wait for periods of 12 hours for it to be online again as no one could put it back online, occasionally dippy would be on as it would be nighttime for him, and he would fix the problem, but that was even rare.

You weren't paying nearly enough attention, then.

The very situation you're talking about is a perfect example of how, with the right approach, we got a problem fixed. To you, the solution was to open up the server to more people. To us, that wasn't acceptable. It took some time, yes, but we discussed it and put some more staff in place. Everyone was happy, and it's a shame you didn't take anything away from the experience.

VuLTiMa wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 04:12

I didn't even make it public that I had a problem until the very end of days, which anyways I was getting ganged up on by Appshot and basically Xperts "minions", so yea why would I take that shit, they clearly had a problem because of what Xpert had said to them, and I aren't going to take that shit.

I'm not going to comment on whether or not that happened, as I wasn't paying attention to it, and no one thought to mention it to me. Let's say for argument's sake it was happening, though. What did you do? Lowered yourself to their level instead of trying to get it fixed in a more mature way.

Duck wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 11:21 Anyone who had been around for a decent amount of time and at one point had at least full mod and saw all the behind closed doors stuff at any given point in Atomix knew right away the true reason for getting rid of Caveman as I said in my one post in that topic without saying it straight out. For the people who didn't spend a good amount of time there and know what went on behind closed doors, you probably won't understand the full story.

As I knew it the instant I saw it it was just xpert grabbing at a weak excuse to cover up for the real reason. So many times before the same thing has happened, from other demod's to bans that got swept under the rug because of the fact there wasn't enough evidence.

Again, I invite you to tell us what you think the true reason is behind his demodding. Xpert didn't like him? Given the lack of compromise on each side, sounds like an acceptable reason to me. Inactivity and a lack of willingness to follow simple and reasonable directions certainly played their part also. I've given my honest and open opinion of why things have turned out like they have, and you're still saying we're covering things up. If you know of something that I don't, please say it now.

---