

---

Subject: Re: Atomix

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Sat, 23 Jul 2011 10:46:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

dippy wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 08:59

To those that complain I haven't been removed due to inactivity - at risk of sounding pompous - I built this community from the ground up. From before the days of Xpert, Ungy and all the other senior staff we've gone through. The fact is that we're not all on equal footing, and to assume as such is incredibly misguided. Communities need a hierarchy - I challenge you to name one that doesn't. You may have put time and effort into the community, but I've put in a lot, lot more. So no, inactivity is not inactivity. Yes Caveman - this means that I think senior staff do, in some circumstances, receive different treatment. I know this pops your bubble of moral sensitivity, but you need to get your head around it or stop banging on about it.

Noone has said that you've haven't put a lot of time into the community, I'm not trying to argue your position or anything like that however, I am quoting X here 'Inactivity is inactivity' his words mate, not mine. This is why I said well what bout you, Elmo and other mods, it was nothing personal it was me proving that my removal wasn't because I was AFK. Yes we need a hierarchy, of course, however people should still get treated the same whether they're a halfy thats been here for 2 days or an admin thats been here since the beginning.

Just because you, elmo, x, hypnos or whoever have been here longer that doesn't mean that you're more important or that you can have the rules bent for you. This is where I get pissy, rules are rules everyone should abide by them.

In my workplace I have quite an important role (just like you have here) doesn't mean I can have longer breaks, or turn up when I want or have a smoke. We have a hierarchy however rules are rules.

dippy wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 08:59

Besides which, someone who is inactive does very little to harm a community. Even if we only get their experience and skills for one day a week, we're better off. I've maintained that position for a long time.

Yet I did that (although I was more active) and im somehow in the wrong, according to X.

dippy wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 08:59

That brings me to my second point, which I hope people will read before quoting the above out of context. In my personal opinion, a lot of the reason behind recent removals is more to do with the fact that these people were doing more harm than good. The time when these people were present, they were present with a jaded, toxic and uncooperative attitude. Inactivity certainly played a role in your removals, but so too did your attitudes. You had lost all respect for the senior and junior staff (whoever's fault that was is frankly irrelevant), you contributed nothing then hid behind the fact you've banned people in the past x weeks to justify your existence, you approached everything with a sarcastic and immature attitude. All in all, it was a horribly ineffective arrangement. And yet, somehow, we're the bad guys from putting a stop to it. Go figure.

I hope that doesn't apply to me, I can't see how I would have done more harm than good, I can't see how I did any harm but ok. As far as I know I didn't lose anyone's respect albeit Xperts which is to be expected. I still had senior staff say to me even though what I'm saying is right and they agree with me, it's X's server.

dippy wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 08:59

Caveman, you know my opinion on why you were removed. You disagree so violently with how Xpert runs the community, and yet you still somehow think you can fit in. One of the prerequisites of being staff is agreeing, at least in principle, with the approach and the ideals of the senior staff. If you don't - especially to the extent that you do not - you're not a good fit for that community. And yet, you're still there trying to bash your round peg into that square hole. How long will it take before you get the point that Xpert is the owner, and YES! That means he gets to run things how he wants. I've lost count of the number of times I've said that to you. Yours is the only case where I feel like you've been remotely hard done by. I know you mean for the best, but you're just so horribly unsuited to the current environment at Atomix.

I've said this many times, yes it's his server he can do what he likes with the server, however you can't run a community like that, when you do this happens, it's not the first time this has happened and I'm not the only one to have stood up and said so. Yes being a lesser staff I should accept when X has made the final decision but if me and most of the other staff think it's the wrong one then we should be allowed to express our opinions and ask why he has decided to choose it. Not just go 'My server sit the fuck down' You wouldn't run Atomix like this, so surely you should understand where I am coming from.

I do want what's best for this community, it's all I ever wanted, I put a lot of time, money and effort into it while I was joint owner, so yeah when I come back as a halfy and I try and express myself, show willingness to improve, get 'promoted' and I get flat out IGNORED what do you expect?

X and myself have different ways of running things so yeah if he chooses to do it differently and myself and other mods, senior and on occasions joint admins think he is wrong then surely we should be allowed to say hold on mate, we respect it's your server but we all feel it would be better doing it like this and not to be flat out ignored. Even if he still says well tbh guys I think it would be better how I do it would be nice but no, we get ignored.

I keep on using a word here, can you pick out what it is?

dippy wrote on Sat, 23 July 2011 08:59

The others - Vultima, Italy, etc. - there's a reason you've been nicknamed the possie. You had no interest in the community. You had no interest in improvement or upholding certain standards. When you played, you knew exactly where the rules could be bent to advantage yourselves - so you did - and you were overly exclusive. Some (not all, I'm aware) of you were warned for breaking our rules, then continued to do so behind our backs. You represented Atomix in a poor light (read: Italy - how are you failing so hard at understanding that having one of our mods cheat in another server is a no-no)? You did the bare minimum to maintain your status, and spent the rest of your time pushing our buttons trying to get a reaction. You got one, and now you're upset about it. I've got very little sympathy.

Re: the forums. Great work guys, at highlighting the exact reason you were removed. You support the community for years, try and justify your actions with your undying love for the community, then resort to this. Define:contradiction. The way you've resorted to this sort of action is pretty much inline with the typical anonymous internet scumbag, so I can't say I'm incredibly surprised. Not long ago, you were laughing and mocking those who made these sort of desperate, last ditched attempts to get some attention. You're those people now. Good show.

I can't comment on that as I had nothing to do with any of it, I dont condone it however I did find it amusing.

To be blunt lesser members of the community shouldn't have to feel like they're walking on egg shells whenever they disagree with X but as of late that's exactly how it is.

I'm not trying to get my mod back, I know X isn't gonna drop his ego and meet me half way,(even though I said to you both privately that fine i'll do it your way and i'd bounce on his epenis because I wanted what was best for Atomix and I still got whats the word... IGNORED.) that's not the point of this topic (as it is now) I want it known that X is a prick and if you don't do as he says he will just make up some bollox to get rid of you.

As I have said already, he can hire/fire who he wants but I just wanted the public to know his actual reasons behind it not that BS he used to cover it up so when others decide to join atomix as a part of the community they know what they're getting themselves in for.

---