

---

Subject: Re: Questions I would like to pose to athiests

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 16 Apr 2011 19:22:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

shippo wrote on Sat, 16 April 2011 10:37Science (from Latin: scientia meaning "knowledge") is an enterprise that builds and organizes knowledge in the form of testable explanations and predictions about the world

I'm not sure I understand your graph. If science is the study of testable explanations, why is it being depicted or thought of as a separate "theory" vs "The Theory of God"? What I mean by this is, as an example, "The Theory of Evolution" is thought of by many as being "science" and the "Theory of Creation" as "non science". Both are theories on how the world began, and since neither can be physically tested, or are repeatable, neither can be called true science.

To me it is easier to believe that God created us and people come from people, than to believe that people came from rocks.

"people came from rocks"? oh dear

firstly, evolution doesn't attempt to explain "how the world began". the world is not a living thing. evolution is a process whereby living things - including humans - change over an extremely long timeframe.

as for physically tested, there is an enormous amount of evidence for evolution in the fossil record and the record of molecular biology. there's none at all for "God". as for "repeatable", evolution is still happening.

if you want to say that "God" created people, what a great deal more complicated you've just made the question; now the origin of life is wherever this god of yours came from.

if we instead accept the theory of evolution, humans developed over an almost incomprehensibly long period of time from lower lifeforms, so the origin of life is really primitive forms of life which can arise through abiogenesis.

---