

---

Subject: Re: Command and Conquer under Victory Games Studios

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 08 Mar 2011 01:41:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 07 March 2011 06:02liquidv2 wrote on Sun, 06 March 2011

22:47Starbuzz wrote on Sun, 06 March 2011 19:53I read in another interview that he actually played a C&C game when he was 10 years old. He is 23 now; so obviously Generals wasn't his first game; I just hope he played the classic games well enough.

he's 23

i'm 23

how the fuck is a 23 year old in charge, i feel retarded and unaccomplished

I thought the same too! I think he is good for the job. He has made a few candid posts in the C&C forums about C&C4 and the low public opinion of it; I was surprised to hear that from him because one would tend to think that someone in that position would be more guarded about saying such things.

I just think its good to have someone our age rather than some much old guy. Hopefully, he's played the classic games well enough.

edit:

btw, he has said that the next C&C won't be just a game...but a series of games. So EA seems to be working on some background to establish a platform where they can churn out a couple titles. And given how much admission of "mistakes" he writes of, maybe this time it may turn out to be good.

Let's stop kidding ourselves, Starbuzz. There hasn't been a good C&C game since RA1. The closest they came was Zero hour, which actually really really good gameplay-wise but people love to hate it since it doesn't have robots, cults, and little green crystals. Whatever new titles won't be able to recapture that old magic that the classic games had.

Personally, I'm waiting for someone to do something Nox-like. That'd really be the only good way to honor Westwood's memory. RA4 (Now with even more battle-bears!) or whatever C&C is coming next is just going to piss on their grave some more.

---