
Subject: Re: Intelligent design vs Evolution
Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 04 Mar 2011 23:33:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Fri, 04 March 2011 14:47I'm under the impression that a "theory" is merely a proposed explanation of some phenomena. It may be agreed upon, but that doesn't change the fact that it is still considered conjecture.

You wouldn't have to theorize something if there is definitive proof of fact that it is indeed the way it works.

Something that is a theory can be changed if there is evidence to support the new development. That's why it's called the theory of evolution; because it isn't definitively proven and a new development can happen at any time that could change our understanding of how it works.

In comparison, and until further factual proof, the theory of a creationist is just as valid as the theory of evolution.

Personally, I believe in evolution if it's any consolation.

Right before you posted this, Surth told you that "theory" doesn't mean what you think it does. I can only assume that you posted this to give everyone a public example of what "wrong" looks like.

Let me know when they find some factual proof to support Music Theory, or the Theory of Gravity. It'd be nice if all that stuff could move beyond being just conjecture.
