Subject: Re: don't ask don't tell Posted by Starbuzzz on Sat, 08 Jan 2011 02:30:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pyr0man1c wrote on Fri, 07 January 2011 15:46 Really though, this topic should be split so we can discuss God's omnipotence B-)

No! Let's not split the topic. This side discussion of "omnipotence" perfectly shows that theists can only debate a topic they are comfortable with. Why and how? Easy: when debating "omnipotence" theists don't have to deal with the hard parts, ie, is this god even real in the first place? are the literary works that they base their stupid assumptions on within sound reason? Are they uniform in providing a homogeneous data source about the "god" in question? Are the authors of these works confirmed and identified and reliable individuals? And most importantly, what is the seniority level of the religion compared with other competing religions?

Oh not at all; the main question ignores all these PREREQUISITES and so, it makes no sense to discuss the "omnipotence" of ANY god in the first place. And since theists are never asked to show these preliminary requisites, they take great pleasure in wallowing in their delusional bullshit like they are doing here in a mediocre subtopic. Then again, that's what theists are good at: spinning bullshit.

Altzan wrote on Thu, 06 January 2011 09:41Contradictory? I don't want to discuss homosexuality with an attitude like yours. Simple as that, buddy.

Simply, nobody can see whether or not you're right (and I do say either of us could be) because of all of your flaunting of how "in the right" you are and how we are all "a bunch of closed-minded bigots".

Maybe when you learn to "debate" and not "flame and taunt" you might get people willing to discuss it on your preferred level. Until then...

If your excuse to not defend your postition was because I butthurt you, then your are lying (not surprising since its you) thru your teeth as you could have just as easily responded to Spoony or Nukelt15.

anyway:

Starbuzzz: Here's my stand and here are the reasons to back it up soundly. Altzan: I am insulted by the way you said it and so won't reply. also I maybe right too!

so there you have it everyone, the entire debate is dogma vs reason; on the side of reason, one side has offered concrete reasoning to back up their assertions meticulously. On the side of dogma and despite faced with decimating irrefutable reason, a vile indoctrinated individual can just throw up his hands in the air and say he is still right without offering any rebuttal.

We are perfectly convinced now.

Altzan wrote on Thu, 06 January 2011 09:41Starbuzzz wrote on Thu, 06 January 2011 04:00Altzan wrote on Sat, 01 January 2011 00:35Quote me where I said I support those laws. Go on.

This coming from someone who said a few months back that not setting up such laws and agreeing to them is "partaking/aiding in such sin." I blame this on either dishonesty or poor memory.

I'm not surprised in the least. Honestly, what ISN'T to blame for all of the things you despise?

Changes the subject with irrelevant question after prompt rebuttal of previous one-liner.

Altzan wrote on Thu, 06 January 2011 09:41R315r4z0r wrote on Thu, 06 January 2011 01:47You can't draw a square circle. That's not a paradox, that's just nonsensical. But an item has weight; it can weigh so much one cannot lift it or it can weigh so little that anyone can. A heavy item is not nonsense.

Sure it isn't nonsense. Expecting a non-physical being to "be able to lift a rock or not" is nonsense.

No, it's not considering this is the same "non-physical being" that had to:

-rest on the 7th day after 6 days of shooting out lame one-liners (1 lame one-liner a day).
-feel the need to walk in the garden in the cool of the day
-screw up the human language because he was scared shitless of humans unitedly building a tower to reach him in the clouds and kicking his ass
-send down "chariots of fire" to pick up VIP's (Elijah)

Most often, he was probably some kind of lame alien with obvious limitations. "Omnipresent" and "omnipotent" were words that theologians grabbed and zealously attached to their god. They failed.

ps: read your bible without skipping a single verse.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums