Subject: Re: New C&C game confirmed! Posted by R315r4z0r on Sat, 30 Oct 2010 02:10:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

My point is that realistic and serious are two different things.

It was stated earlier that RA1 was a realistic game. That's what I'm disagreeing with. It was definitely a serious game... but realistic? I don't think so. It's only an illusion given to us through dulled colors and over-acted cutscenes.

While I would agree for the most part that realism in a game is relative, in this case it is an exception. The game directly contradicts real history. It's therefore impossible for it to be considered realistic.

If I wrote a book saying Hitler won WWII, it cannot be a realistic book. Would you not agree?