

---

Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Thu, 17 Jun 2010 03:40:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 09:56 Why is there even a debate on homosexual rights? Because the majority object to it.

Where does the 'tyranny' come in?

Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 09:56 The fact that I cannot be openly atheist just because my entire family is christian is another example. Just yesterday, the son-of-a-bitch that I have for a father told me over the phone while discussing something unrelated that "you are fucked because you choose to be an atheist and questioned christianity." He thinks I am some sort of criminal for being atheist.

I'm sorry to hear that.

Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 09:56 It's the same for homosexuals in this country and elsewhere. The fact they cannot do whatever they want just because the majority of Altzans object to it on the basis of a deep whine.

And finally, you whine about atheists trying to "ensure that their children are never fooled by such things" (give me a good reason as to why they should be fooled by religion) when you christians go to such great lengths to ensure that your child is not impressed by any religion other than your own.

1) What's with you thinking I'm 'whining'? I certainly don't think that of you, despite the fact that we're both debating the same thing in roughly the same manner.

2) Quick question - what is it that homosexuals want to do that is being held back by the 'tyranny of the majority'?

3) I certainly don't care whether or not atheists try to guard their children against religion. If they want to make sure their child stays free of mind through their childhood, it's fine by me.

Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 09:56 Altzan wrote on Sun, 13 June 2010 21:40 Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 10 June 2010 03:25 And you cannot have "faith" if you take away childhood brainwashing.

Then how do people who've never been religious all their life hear the message and study it, and decide to become Christians? That's not childhood brainwashing.

Are you serious?

How about telling your children about religion when they are mature enough to actually think on their own and come to a conclusion using their own judgment? Telling a 8 year old is just brainwashing since kids can be easily fooled.

Didn't answer the question.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 10:47 exactly, and that's why it's fine when that happens. you

want to proselytise to an adult like me, you're very welcome to try... just keep the fuck away from children.

I don't plan on trying to teach it to children. Sure, parents will teach theirs, that's not likely to change, but when it comes to teaching it to others, it doesn't include children.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 10:47Quote:Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 10 June 2010 03:25Simply stating it is interference doesn't make it so. How is it interfering? Any specifics? Interference = hindrance, intrusion

Debating over the topic is surely a hindrance at the least.

there you have it, ladies and gentlemen. whenever you hear someone say that debating a particular question is a bad thing, you'll invariably have a religious justification.

I never said it was a bad thing, actually

Spoony wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 10:47sure, anal sex can be damaging... how about oral sex or handjobs, for example? if it were established that these practices were not harmful, would you be fine with homosexuals enjoying their love lives that way?

In a worldly sense, I suppose.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 10:47Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 11:57Quote:I don't know what you mean by a comparison to their love life - it's just another debate over a different topic, that one being homosexuality. Challenging their ideas on it and calling that "interfering with their love life" is the same as challenging a religious person's ideas and calling that "interfering with their religious life".

if only religious people were content JUST to verbally challenge homosexuality. what a better world that would be. well, i'm all for free speech.

The only two acts I support are verbal challenge and voting on the issue politically.

you earlier said that a verbal challenge is "interfering". (i personally depart from you there)

So you wish the "homophobes" would only interfere in that manner?

Spoony wrote on Mon, 14 June 2010 10:47Quote:Opinions of one faith shouldn't dictate the law. if, after you die, god asks you to explain yourself on this point, what will you say to Him?

While it depends on what or why he's asking for an explanation, I'd point out that changing governmental laws to favor religion against the wishes of the populace generally harbors disfavor to said religion, and that hardly helps to teach it to others.

---