
Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 14 Jun 2010 15:47:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Altzan wrote on Sun, 13 June 2010 21:40Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 10 June 2010 03:25I wonder what makes you think atheists are going to cram such topics to a "child" in the first place?

Resentment?

I'm sure plenty of atheists feel hostile to the religious, and try to ensure that their children are never fooled by such things.

plenty of atheists certainly feel hostile to religion, given the enormous amount of damage it's done and continues to do. i certainly think children ought to be protected from religion.

Quote:Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 10 June 2010 03:25And you cannot have "faith" if you take away childhood brainwashing.

Then how do people who've never been religious all their life hear the message and study it, and decide to become Christians? That's not childhood brainwashing.

exactly, and that's why it's fine when that happens. you want to proselytise to an adult like me, you're very welcome to try... just keep the fuck away from children.

Quote:Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 10 June 2010 03:25Simply stating it is interference doesn't make it so. How is it interfering? Any specifics?

Interference = hindrance, intrusion

Debating over the topic is surely a hindrance at the least.

there you have it, ladies and gentlemen. whenever you hear someone say that debating a particular question is a bad thing, you'll invariably have a religious justification.

Quote:Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 10 June 2010 03:25How did someone challenging your beliefs obstruct and hinder you from practising your faith?

I'd think this was obvious.

it sure is!

Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 11:57if your god is going to torture people for ever and ever if they don't get this question right, isn't he a COLOSSAL prick for not making it very clear to begin with? isn't it a huge indictment of His incompetence as well as His cruelty?

As far as baptism goes, it's quite clear, although many try to pull verses out of context to dispute it. or say that they aren't convinced that the book was inspired by god, or say that they aren't convinced that there's a god at all, or say they think there are different gods than Yahweh, or don't see the point in a baptism even if god does want it... i expect the people in the world who don't go in for baptism (clearly a majority, despite christianity's incessant brainwashing of the young) would probably give all sorts of different answers.

Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 11:57You're just seeing homosexuality as a form of sex. It's not just a form of sex, it's a form of love too (maybe the bigger part of the two is the love

part, not that I am in a position to know).

It's safe to say that the vast majority of homosexual couples practice homosexual intercourse. sure, but i think the point needs making. people need to understand we're talking about love here.

Quote:And as for the unhealthy part, here's an example:

Quote:For example, one 1982 study mentioned in the Journal of the American Medical Association found that the anal cancer rate for homosexuals is way above normal, maybe as high as 50 times normal.¹ And a 1997 New England Journal of Medicine study again drew attention to the "strong association between anal cancer and male homosexual contact."² (The reason for the connection is that the lining of the anus, as opposed to the much thicker lining of the vagina, is only a single cell in thickness, tears easily, and thus is an easy point of entry for viruses and bacteria. Just as repeatedly assaulting lung tissue with cigarette smoke increases one's lung cancer risk, repeatedly damaging the anus and rectum increases one's anal cancer risk. Anal sex frequently results in damage to the anus and rectum. Too, this helps explain why AIDS is spread so easily in the homosexual community. However, even when there are not any tears in the anal lining, there is still a high risk for HIV infection because certain cells in its mucous lining [M-cells and Langerhans cells] can be infected and will then carry HIV deeper into one's body.)
sure, anal sex can be damaging... how about oral sex or handjobs, for example? if it were established that these practices were not harmful, would you be fine with homosexuals enjoying their love lives that way?

Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 11:57Quote:I don't know what you mean by a comparison to their love life - it's just another debate over a different topic, that one being homosexuality. Challenging their ideas on it and calling that "interfering with their love life" is the same as challenging a religious person's ideas and calling that "interfering with their religious life". if only religious people were content JUST to verbally challenge homosexuality. what a better world that would be. well, i'm all for free speech.

The only two acts I support are verbal challenge and voting on the issue politically.
you earlier said that a verbal challenge is "interfering". (i personally depart from you there)

Quote:CarrierII wrote on Sun, 13 June 2010 16:173) I also fail to see why the opinions of one faith should dictate the law, fortunately in the UK, it doesn't.

Opinions of one faith shouldn't dictate the law.[/quote]

if, after you die, god asks you to explain yourself on this point, what will you say to Him?
