

---

Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Mon, 07 Jun 2010 04:29:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 Not a belief SYSTEM, no.

good.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 But it also is not completely DEVOID of belief.

so what beliefs does it have?

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 No, telling a kid anything as fact at all (including telling, as a fact, that no higher power exists) is brainwashing.

Actually the child will come to know of the numerous flavours of "higher powers" that have been produced by the numerous religions past and present.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 It's most important because it's most popular. People like the idea of a higher power watching over them, rewarding, punishing, and guiding. Therefore it becomes important.

Let's not forget from which text the people got this "idea" from.

Eitherway, good on you to finally acknowledge this in this thread. It's precisely this concept of a biblical dictatorship for which there is no evidence for.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 while hell is most assuredly worse than a verbal attack

good of you admit this because there is no equal to hell.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 Denominations are separated by small details, yes. But that doesn't make them "pointless".

Researchers nearly finish calculations for a new outer-space rocket. However, one of the details in the physical formulas is interpreted two different ways. The researchers split up, unable to resolve the issue. Each build their own rocket, and their calculations are nearly identical, with only the one detail different.

Yes, the detail may be insignificant and will not harm either rocket.

But it is very possible that one interpretation will get the rocket in space and beyond, and the other will end up in the rocket's destruction.

Analogy doesn't work. So you are saying that despite denominations' and their "small details" and with you agreeing with this:

Starbuzz All Christians, despite denominations, believe in the doctrine of creation as in Genesis

(some differ with the days vs eras in creation), the old testament stories are considered factual, all accept christ as saviour, his teachings and that of his disciples (albeit with some nitpicking), have some view on what is going to happen in the "end times" (either a second coming or rapture), and believe in a heaven and a hell.

there's still a chance that once of them got it wrong? wrong enough to lead to the "rocket's destruction?" how absurd! These small details don't matter a bit.

According to their dogma, if they accept their jesus and stay away from sin, and live the life, they are either going to heaven or hell.

Anyway, do you have any pointers as to which denomination got it so worryingly wrong?

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10Also, I never said this thread was "cheap entertainment" for me, and claiming such is putting words in my mouth.

Here:

Altzan wrote on Sun, 16 May 2010 23:38Practically everything humorous is this. It's useless for anything other than a cheap entertainment, but it can easily be seen as interesting.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10Yes, EXAMPLE. I know what atheism is, thank you. So how long are you going to continue this charade?

I don't think atheists are like that. It was an example, hypothetical - whatever the heck word you please.

Continuing to say that the example represents my view on what atheism is just continues to prove how close-minded and unreasonable you are becoming.

Not saying it represented your view on atheism. It simply confirmed my view on what religious folks first impressions of atheism is and I wasn't surprised when you wrote that example of mass murderers. Good on you if you changed your view.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10Excuse me for making a counterpoint to your own point - I thought we were supposed to do that in debates, no?

It was the only suggestion I can think of to avoid killing the children. To turn that into a counterpoint just shows you are more interested in settling some score rather than come to a mutual conclusion.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10That's not absolute, you know. Homosexuality can hurt people in several ways.

have any reasoning behind this?

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10Starbuzz wrote on Thu, 03 June 2010 17:063) that I was needlessly interfering into their personal lives

If there's wrong in it (not just wrong in the religious aspect), then it isn't needless

wow

What do you mean by "wrong" here that you feel justifies you interfering? I would like you to clarify these "aspects" under which you feel interference is necessary into the personal lives of others.

Altzan wrote on Sun, 06 June 2010 21:10 Same as above... you, a complete outsider, are interfering with my beliefs, and I, a complete outsider, am interfering with your ideas.

When was the last time I took an atheist sign and showed up at your door on Sunday morning to prevent you from going to church? Christians have more experience doing something like that when they protest other peoples' lifestyle choices. Refuting religious dogmas doesn't count as "interference" unless you are feeling a bit rattled. I don't see you as "interfering" with my atheism on the other hand.

---