Subject: Re: Jus wondering .. Posted by Kimb on Mon, 17 May 2010 12:00:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 17 May 2010 04:58

It's about as ridicules as they way Spoony presents his arguements.WTF? He made his point, and so did you, dosnt matter how, do it?EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 17 May 2010 04:58 Either you agree with him or you are a fucking stupid retarded cheating insulting bitch. Or similar. That does not make any argument a viable, thus one doesn't even need to bother responding decently on it.I cant remember reading anything that have with what you just said, the point is that since 0x90 made *cheat name removed* that basicly killed some comms should be making a anti-cheat? helping TT? in what way? why would he halp when hes updating *cheat name removed* all the time? Already his 2.0 version have reached 100+ downloads, as someone mentioned before, thats ALOT like 1/3 of the players EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 17 May 2010 04:58

I know Spoony's opinion, all fine and dandy, but I cannot agree with the knowledge I have. That does not make me stupid or wwhatever, that makes me having a different opinion than Spoony. Sppony however, being thickheaded as he is, will continuing arguing (with the same arguments) until I/whomever stop replying and think he made is point.Spoony never said you were stupid, just that if you let 0x90 continue what hes doing with consequence he wont stop, but banning him would show him that "we" care. Not everyone share this view ofc, but that do not make others stupid EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Mon, 17 May 2010 04:58Also he uses information that was trusted to him (being on TT) and uses it to suggest I personally killed CW (well, nearly anyway). That makes his arguments at least just as retarded as the post you quoted.I dont see where he suggested that YOU killed CW in no way, he said that 0x90 is responsible for it, because server owners used *cheat name removed*

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums