
Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Wed, 28 Apr 2010 14:59:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 Yes, he did control many aspects of the events in the Old Testament. He isn't doing that now, though - not since Jesus' ascension.

Hmmm...what about his angels then? Are they still around? It does seem he overworked his angels in the NewT. Everything from sending messages to helping peter(?) escape from prison and many instances more.

Or is this part of the tale rejected nowadays as well?

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 I don't see how the concept of "God isn't actively changing events today" is mindboggling.

Only strengthes my personal view that religions change over time.

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 26 April 2010 03:43 I was told god is watching your everymove and directly and indirectly interferes whenever possible. I guess you are going to challenge that too using the version you were taught with huh?

Of course... as I stated above. I'm "mind-boggled" that people still believe this.

What does your version of christianity say regarding where non-christians and casual christians will "go to" after death? What about satan?

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 There's definitely plenty of evidence, that is undeniable. As for proof, that's obvious. There'd be a lot more Christians in there were proof.

Trust me...I have looked at all this. They all amount to nothing but the same old clever but dishonest exploitation of gaps. If there was "undeniable evidence" and "obvious proof" I would have already beaten you to defending christianity in this thread (and in real life too).

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 26 April 2010 03:43 2) your entire motivation to do so is based on a feeble faith-based belief system cooked up by a certain eastern-mediterranean sea people who's ancient ancestor is a mesopotamian man by the name of abraham.

And an athiest's belief is based on an idea that the universe appeared by the means of some unknown force that cannot possibly be directed by an intelligent entity.

- 1) it does not make any sense to use the word "belief" to anything atheist.
- 2) you ignored my original statement that goes to the root start of christianity.
- 3) you don't know what atheism really is.

Unlike people of "faiths," atheists don't come to their conclusions because they want to...it's because there's solid reasons to.

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 I'm surprised that you don't know, or remember, any details on what we're "gonna get". It seems far-fetched, but certainly not flimsy.

What is far-fetched and not flimsy? Remember we are talking hell, heaven, eternal life and eternal torment, angels, weird angelic creatures like cherubims, bright light...all packed in a faith-based belief system that uses intellectual dishonesty, brainwashing of little children to gain future followers, and the extensive use of fear-based blackmail.

Seems pretty flimsy to me. I'll admit it's hard to forget this drivel.

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 Starbuzzz wrote on Mon, 26 April 2010 03:43 But let me be clear and say I do not wish to intrude on the way you live your life...just saying why exactly I no longer live that way with the reasons above. I'm willing to respect that, but the rest of your post fatally contradicts this.

I said that in all seriousness. I am not here to "get you." I feel like I am talking to the christian starbuzzz from three years ago and am genuinely liking this convo. But let me be clear; I am debating you only:

1) to gauge if the religion I abandoned has any "new juice" left (so far you have, unsurprisingly, provided the same old "fresh-off-the-indoctrination-assembly-line" arguments all thread that I myself used years ago; you did include a shocking bit of modified/modernized dogma of a non-interfering god!)

2) to not prove you wrong but to see if my own rational conclusions are tenable still. My atheist life is fast approaching the 1-year mark and so far no one (real life included) has presented anything to make me rethink my rationale.

I'll walk off once I realize my #1 and #2 points above. I did this same exercise in real life with real christians and they only ended up helping me realize #1 and #2 faster. Don't see my response as a nuisance...rather see it as to my exact reason to why I don't believe in what you do. That's why I ask only basic questions...the same questions I have already asked myself.

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 I believe in the biblical account... science's version of older events is no more reliable than any other historical idea, even the Bible's.

law of belief, i.e, whatever you believe becomes the truth.

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 Or do you really think man is able to imagine an idea without any prior exposure to experience or sense? In other words, out of thin air, no prompt beforehand?

answer this honestly in your own words; what made humanity start wearing clothing?

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 It's amazing what can happen in several millenia, eh?

Yes, it's certainly amazing...entire religions can be wiped out and replaced by other religions which then go on to become the next dominant guesswork of how we got here. Amazing indeed.

And we all know the continents cannot have drifted apart to where they are in "several millenia."
They would have needed more than eight millenia.

Altzan wrote on Wed, 28 April 2010 04:55 Why is this an issue? Is it a problem that there are variations?

Should we all have the same faces and looks as well?

You tell me why this is an issue. Why don't we all look the same?

The creationist account says that we are all descendants of Noah's sons after the flood killed off all other humans. So Noah's sons and their descendants expanded out over the earth and just after a "few millenia" they branched out into 5 or so main "unique" races with the strict and rigid aforementioned differences?
