Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality Posted by Spoony on Wed, 14 Apr 2010 12:44:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Altzan wrote on Tue, 13 April 2010 23:21Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13then the "so this part doesn't apply now because he was talking to the israelites" point is nonsense.

How does that relate to what I said at all?

you said that these particular verses only apply to the israelites, because god's talking to this group whom he gave land to. it's only the people to whom god gave such a large gift who need to pay attention.

well, if he gave all of us life, that would seem to qualify as well.

Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13does it follow that one particular crime will have the same punishment as a less heinous one? quite possibly, if it's everlasting.

Very possibly.

I don't see any passage hinting at a person going from one to the other after they've arrived (remember the gulf).

well, there you go. the punishment for any "sin" is the same as another. for my honest criticism of christianity, i'm in for the same punishment as any mass murderer you care to name.

that's justice, is it?

Quote:Deu 23:2 A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD.

From what I found as I resarched this, there are disputes as to the original meaning of the phrase, 'enter into rhe congregation of the LORD'.

then there's another critique of the incompetence of the revelation.

Quote: Fossils created? No, the living beings they used to be...

If life slowly spread over Earth and not just suddenly appeared, there wouldn't be fossils just mass-appearing in groups. They'd be showing up in small but increasing numbers.

i've never heard anybody suggest that the population (in animal terms) of the earth at 4000 BC was very small.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 April 2010 00:29Quote:Quote:Or,

"When the carbon-14 dating method is "correctly" calibrated, and 25-thousand radiocarbon dates are graphed, the result shows evidence of a great peak of deaths about 4-thousand years ago." even more vague

I don't see how this is vague.

Although it concerns the flood and not creation.

how does this even prove the flood is true? there was a catastrophic event and lots of animals died? that's happened quite a few times.

On this scale?

There were other events that killed off nearly the entire planet? on what basis does he claim that this thing 4 thousand years ago killed off nearly the entire planet?

Quote: Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13so it's the same as the mafia protection, then. we're being "saved" by the guy who put us in the danger in the first place.

We're always 'in the danger' as it is from the start, then, because there are no other options other than accept or refuse...

...and who put us into that situation?

Quote:in this case, you're blaming him for not 'saving' people from punishment who've done nothing in return.

'saving' seems to be a euphemism for 'deciding not to inflict horrific punishment after all'

Quote: Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13the second question was simply to remind you that god is not telling us about the punishment. men wrote the bible.

Well, as I have already stated, I don't believe that.

you already acknowledged that the bible was written by men. and who's spreading the bible around? who's spreading the word of god? men. it's no different to islam.

Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Quote:When Jesus established his church and ascended to Heaven, God's direct influence ceased and won't return until the second coming. First time I've heard this from a Christian...

I'm surprised...

What do the Catholics/Christians in your area think, then?

i hear all the time that god is intervening in world affairs... every time a natural disaster happens, for example. there's no "christians in my area" about this; we get it from christians all over the world all the time. you're the first christian i've ever heard suggest that god does not interfere in current events.

Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13wow, lol... so if i say unicorns are real, you'd have to accept there is a 50% probability that they actually are?

At base, yes. Then you look at the evidence and proof. well, let's just say you're not the first person i've actually pressed for evidence.

half of the people i've asked this said evidence is not applicable, the other half tried to prove it and failed.

the second half are more honest and respectable, fyi.

Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13if you're making no distinction as to the actual likelihood of the claim, i'm not sure on what grounds you say one god is real and all the others aren't.

My grounds is my belief, I'm not trying to declare it as absolute fact. you don't really believe it, then?