

---

Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Thu, 18 Mar 2010 18:50:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony wrote on Wed, 17 March 2010 17:42 You say beliefs shouldn't be considered... does that include if they were neo-nazis, for example? Or, to take a more likely (and more relevant) case, if they are a member of an organisation with a policy and history of systematically protecting child rapists from the law and permitting them to continue raping the children in their care? Isn't membership of an organisation as evil as that worth consideration?

No, their beliefs still should not be considered. If you start disallowing services to people of certain groups or beliefs, then you are:

1. Doing the exact same thing that Christians are doing against homosexuals.
2. Giving Christians ammunition for arguments concerning why their way of thinking is correct.

It does not matter what group or party or orientation the would-be parents are. If you take another look at the list of priorities I made, you will notice that I said that the child's safety and future should be made first priority. If said organization is indeed into such heinous acts against children, then it stands to reason that they won't meet the qualifications for the first priority that I laid out.

No one should be disallowed a service based on some superficial appearance. They have to be physically proven to actually be either a bad influence or just a bad parent living in poor conditions. And even after a child has been adopted, there should be like a weekly or monthly visit from a child-service agent to check up on the child for at least the first year.

---