

---

Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Thu, 18 Mar 2010 04:22:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony wrote on Wed, 17 March 2010 18:31Clark Kent wrote on Wed, 17 March 2010 18:06First off I would define "sin" as anything that The Bible says is wrong. That's all that is required to define a sin, then? You don't actually have to make a moral case against it, you only need to say that a book disapproves of it?

Well, the Bible disapproves of a great many things and outright prohibits many others, many of which many modern Christians do - various dietary laws, for example. More importantly, if there's anything which makes the biblical god angrier than anything else, it's disbelief in him, or worshipping gods other than himself.

Quote:Of course if you don't believe in The Bible or God for that matter, than none of that even matters.

It would be nice if that was the case. Sadly those who do believe in god and the bible tend to be very keen to insist that we all follow their rules.

If I remember correctly, you mentioned in another thread that Christianity basically has a governmental seat in your country, right?

That kind of thing is against my belief as well - we are charged to spread his word but not shove it down their throats. If we did what we could and they still don't believe, we don't relentlessly pursue it.

Also, we are separate from Catholicism and Baptism and all the other splinter denominations... that's why stories about this and that church irritate me, because they get associated with Christianity as a whole.

As for the last part - would I force a nonbeliever to obey a Biblical rule? No. Would I fight against a governmental decree legally allowing something I consider a sin? Yes, because not doing so would be allowing it, thus being an accomplice to said sin.

Just my thoughts. Like I said, I just want to show that my - and my church's - position doesn't match other denominations.

---