

---

Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 18 Mar 2010 00:31:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Clark Kent wrote on Wed, 17 March 2010 18:06: First off I would define "sin" as anything that The Bible says is wrong.

That's all that is required to define a sin, then? You don't actually have to make a moral case against it, you only need to say that a book disapproves of it?

Well, the Bible disapproves of a great many things and outright prohibits many others, many of which many modern Christians do - various dietary laws, for example. More importantly, if there's anything which makes the biblical god angrier than anything else, it's disbelief in him, or worshipping gods other than himself.

Quote:Of course if you don't believe in The Bible or God for that matter, than none of that even matters.

It would be nice if that was the case. Sadly those who do believe in god and the bible tend to be very keen to insist that we all follow their rules.

Quote:As I said I didn't want to debate truth in either.

That's a shame, because I do.

Quote:I wasn't saying homosexuals should be denied the right to have kids because they could not make them themselves, I am sure there are many homosexual couples that are more than capable of raising kids to be functional and productive members of society (even better than some heterosexual couples).

Cool.

Quote:That was more along with the "natural" part, as in nature doesn't allow for them to make kids together, so a lot of people would see it as they are not supposed to have kids...

My earlier question re: infertile people seems to apply here.

You say "not supposed to". That implies somebody decided that the person would be homosexual and would not be able to biologically conceive a child (not quite true, but at least would not be inclined to enter a heterosexual relationship). If somebody is infertile, is it not the case that this person was "not supposed to have kids", decided by the same somebody who creates certain people homosexual?

Quote:I hope you don't think I am "dodging" questions here

It was advice for the future, not a reproach for the past.

Quote:but I don't see any point in discussing the truth in the religion part.

I'm afraid I do.

On the day the religious stop endlessly interfering with the lives of others, stop corrupting democratic processes, stop brainwashing children and stop asking for privileges they don't deserve, I'll have nothing to complain about and I won't care whether what they believe is true.

Sadly that day has not arrived yet, and until it does, their ideas are fair game for ridicule and contempt.

Quote:I just wanted to say a couple reasons why I would expect some believers to be for or against homosexuality in response to Zimmer's comment.  
It might be a good idea to let people make their own objections.

---