

---

Subject: Re: THAT'S RACIST!

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Tue, 16 Mar 2010 12:29:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's pretty sad that you spent so much time on such an empty post.

Let's start at the beginning: You're making a shock thread about how comparing Michelle Obama visually to a monkey is "political humor" instead of the obvious choice, racism, because George Bush was in a similar situation. Nikki called you out on that nonsense. Bush was pictured like that because of being perceived as unintelligent (like a primate) - at least by presidential standards - which supposedly led to poor political decisions. Michelle is a Harvard lawyer and isn't in charge of any policy, so the only reason she's pictured next to a monkey is her appearance. Henceforth, there is nothing political about that type of "humor", it's classless, lowbrow racism. Even if the connection to the racist term "monkey" were simply a coincidence - which is highly unlikely - you're defending a classless, unprovoked personal attack on a woman. Good job, internet soldier!

Since you're obviously having trouble making a case, you decide to shed your skin and show your true racist colors by claiming that slavery wasn't that bad and black people need to condone white people labeling them "nigg3rs" because every "race" went through rough periods. Now we get to an inane list of comparisons: Reborn takes the cake for comparing positive discrimination in the UK to centuries of slavery. After being pressured to provide examples, you finally do so somewhere in your wall of text. First up, the French, which apparently "get their fair share of shit from the Americans". LMFAO...so being perceived as surrender-happy equals to going through centuries of slavery? On second thought, this might be even crazier than Reborn's comparison. Next up, the Dutch, which apparently have "dealt with shit". OK, then which "shit" have they "dealt with" that compares to centuries of slavery? I'm waiting!

Finally, the Irish. Their plight can actually be compared to what blacks went through. So far so good, but what's the connection to racial slurs such as "nigg3r" and "monkey"? Those two slurs were designed to label blacks as sub-human in a time when blacks were perceived as such. I'm not very knowledgeable on fringe racial slurs, so if there a comparable slur which targets the Irish people's fortune as sub-human slaves, I'm sure it's equally as inappropriate and intolerable. Slurs targeting white people as a whole (such as the examples "wigger" and "pinky") therefore aren't comparable. The use of "nigg3r" and "monkey" by white people will never be condoned. If a black person does so, it's different, albeit ignorant - the same way me calling you a faggot over the internet and calling an outed homosexual a faggot to his face is different.

As for putting the Irish, Dutch, French and "African" race in the same category...you're by no chance related to a certain woman from Alaska, right?

---