
Subject: Re: THAT'S RACIST!

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 15 Mar 2010 21:10:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JohnDoe wrote on Mon, 15 March 2010 14:03I replied to your idiotic first post throughout the course of this thread, it's not my problem you're too dense to realize this. The only reason this thread got replies is because it was a controversial shock thread, not because it was intellectually interesting.

you're right, what a fool I am to have not realized such well thought out replies and arguments such as:

JohnDoe wrote on Sun, 07 March 2010 04:15It's obviously lame redneck racism...I wouldn't want to be associated with such an idiot, either.

JohnDoe wrote on Mon, 08 March 2010 01:46
fuckin aspie

JohnDoe wrote on Mon, 08 March 2010 15:40

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 09 March 2010 02:26I'm starting to believe black people when they whine about most whites still being closet racists...either that or there are more than a few people with Asperger's posting ITT.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 09 March 2010 16:41We get it. You're autistic, not racist.

JohnDoe wrote on Wed, 10 March 2010 02:40As an American living in Germany I'm clearly sensible enough to African-American history in order to be aware of the blatant lowbrow racism, as any educated person should be. If you can't see how comparing the Harvard-educated wife of the first black president in US history visually to a monkey, which just so happens be a racial slur used by people who lynched blacks not too long ago, is racist, then I can only feel pity. You obviously can only belong to four types of people: 1. Extremely sheltered and of low intelligence living in all-white region like North Dakota, which makes you ignorant to anything related to African-Americans; 2. A closet racist; 3. A troll; 4. Autistic.

From what I can tell, you're either a troll or a closet racist (which is why I didn't bother with a serious reply), but this Reborn guy is definitely not a troll, which makes this a lot more interesting. ah, here we go- after 4 replies, you replied with something SOMEWHAT interesting, and made a semi-serious reply to another post:

JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 11 March 2010 02:56

If African-Americans were enslaved, raped and lyched en masse in your country because of the color of their skin, then you better inconveniently "forward think" before comparing a person of said group to a racist term due to their appearance - especially if it's a person who just made history. What's wrong with you? This level of insensitivity definitely beyond naive.

To answer the Jewish question: It depends on the picture. If a religious or cultural symbol like the

Star of David is involved, then it's definitely racist. If a non-jewish accomplice is pictured as well, then it most likely isn't. Everything in between will have Jews complaining, but must not be inherently racist. A racist would i.e. make the pig's features look as "Jewish as possible".

...then when your obviously infallible logic is challenged, you resort to:

JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 11 March 2010 08:38I for one welcome our new Sperglord Reborn.

So say we all!

then some shit about BSG, I don't know and won't bother posting it

JohnDoe wrote on Fri, 12 March 2010 01:45

Zeratul&Alsamething -> Examples for categories 1&2.

"Howdy!"

"Hello."

"Why can't I call them colored folks nigg3rs? They even use the word themselves!"

"..."

Sorry, but what? That's not even what's being said, and is obviously just another shitpost.

BUT WAIT, THERE'S MORE!

JohnDoe wrote on Sat, 13 March 2010 08:13

It's an ignorant word to begin with, but there's a difference saying it when your ancestors enslaved, raped and lynched the group you're saying it to. Using the equality argument to justify your racism is pretty pathetic.

you're basically repeating what you said before- except that your points had already been argued, and you ignore them.

I think I'll just stop there, but you haven't made any real points past the middle few. However, since I'm nice, I'll re-argue it (despite Reborn having done this already).

JohnDoe wrote on Wed, 10 March 2010 02:40As an American living in Germany I'm clearly sensible enough to African-American history in order to be aware of the blatant lowbrow racism, as any educated person should be.

So, the guy claims it's political humor, and it pretty much is, but it's "lowbrow racism" because of previously (as in, ages old) discriminatory remarks? This is just proving my point- people jump right fucking to the race card. You're only perpetuating racism in general by doing this, you know.

JohnDoe wrote on Wed, 10 March 2010 02:40 If you can't see how comparing the Harvard-educated wife of the first black president in US history visually to a monkey, which just so happens be a racial slur used by people who lynched blacks not too long ago, is racist, then I can only feel pity.

Firstly, it WAS long ago. Generally speaking, shit that happened 20-30 years ago should hardly come into consideration as much as it does for "racist" words. But whatever, I guess everyone has their own definition for how long ago "awhile ago" was.

Secondly, yes, it... is a coincidence, dipshit. People have compared political figures and the people around them to monkeys since fucking Abraham Lincoln.

If we just DISREGARDED those stupid racist remarks altogether as being bad, it wouldn't be a problem at ALL. But no, people can't understand that by getting upset, they're making the whole racism problem worse. Plus, it makes genuinely racist people more pissed off. Which doesn't help either...

JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 11 March 2010 02:56

If African-Americans were enslaved, raped and lynched en masse in your country because of the color of their skin, then you better inconveniently "forward think" before comparing a person of said group to a racist term due to their appearance - especially if it's a person who just made history. What's wrong with you? This level of insensitivity definitely beyond naive. Irish were enslaved, treated like SHIT when they came here to America, and were more than likely raped just as much. The Dutch have dealt with shit. And I dare you to tell me that French don't get their fair share of shit from Americans. Like I've said- EVERY RACE HAS PUT UP WITH SHIT. Africans aren't the only ones.

"BUT THEY GOT RAPED AND LYNCHED AND THAT WAS BAD". Yes, it was, but African-Americans just get the most attention when it comes to that shit. That doesn't make it any less terrible- but many, MANY other counts of racism, TO THIS DAY, go unnoticed.

And, there's no other way to put this, and I guarantee you'll jump down my throat on this, but really... most African-Americans are the ones who make the biggest deal about "racism" these days. But nearly every race is discriminated just as much as them these days (which is to say, not nearly as much of a deal these days), with their own set of racial slurs that are said just as much.

Really, African-Americans are essentially to American racism what the Jews were to WWII. It was terrible, it should have never happened, but they get the most attention when it comes to people explaining the atrocities... despite there being other groups of people being discriminated against.

Because of the fact that they get the most attention though, people really think they're about the only ones who had bad shit happen to them. Which is simply not true. And you're pretty much playing right into that- so, congrats on being an unoriginal, uneducated fuckwit.

Now, let me restate a point: THE MORE YOU BITCH ABOUT HOW A CERTAIN WORD OR CERTAIN COMPARISONS ARE "RACIST", THE MORE YOU PERPETUATE RACISM ITSELF. I don't care if you're defending it, it only makes it worse. I'm not saying "IGNORE RACISM!", but for fucks sake, how is it going to help?

Think of it like this: Suddenly, the word "Xefu" is outlawed. You're not allowed to say it. Obviously, people wouldn't say it normally. But now, anyone that says it, get in big fucking trouble, and are subject to an ass beating.

Because it suddenly became such a taboo word, people start using it as such- they use it as a BAD word. People start getting offended- they don't know why, other than that it's illegal. But they get "offended". Because it's now an offensive word, it works its way into a banned list of words. You can't really say it in public. People now regard it as a really bad word- they don't know why still, but it's BAD.

And for what? Why does "Xefu" have to be banned? It wouldn't make its way into normal discussion, except the occasional gibberish speak or typo or the like.

Now, I'm sure you're going to jump down my throat on how "But "Nigger" had a bad meaning to it BEFORE it became as illegal as it is! They were discriminated against first, and were treated horribly before it became taboo! YOUR ARGUMENT IS INVALID, HAH, I WIN!". But see, here's the funny part- ALMOST EVERY AFRICAN AMERICAN WHO BITCHES ABOUT THE WORD "NIGGER" HAS NEVER ACTUALLY EXPERIENCED THE ATROCITIES THEIR ANCESTORS DID. My ancestors have experienced shit at one point. Yours have, too. Everyone's has.

Does this mean we should start treating said people as horrible? NO. This bullshit of "Oh, well, it happened to their ancestors, so it applies to them". No. THAT RIGHT THERE is why racism continues to exist. Stop that shit. Yes, even if everyone just stopped this all at once, some racist pricks would continue to live. But that doesn't mean you should continue a shitty policy of "It happened to their ancestors; they deserve retribution!".

FYI, a HUGE amount of racism comes from this way of thinking. For example, a Jew steal's someone's cash some time, 150 years ago. This guy gets pissed, obviously. So he ends up telling his kids "Hey, I had my shit stolen by a Jew, don't trust 'em". That kid tells his kid "Hey, Jews steal shit, don't trust 'em". This continues on. You get the picture.

This entire train of thought needs to just EXPLODE. Why it still runs in people's minds is beyond me, but we as a species need to fucking get over ancestral ties.

I could go on, but this is way too TL;DR as it is, and I have a funny feeling you won't fucking respond to any of what I've said. But this would only further prove I'm right, since you wouldn't be able to properly argue, and can only stoop to shitty "argument " tactics (trolling). So yeah, good luck with that. Good enough of a post for ya?