
Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plaid guilty :(
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 20 Dec 2009 17:23:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:and that says a lot. exactly the style of writing im talking about.
Ah, so it's italics you object to, is it? I'm still not really getting it.

surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:
Quote:Okay, now you exposed him and everybody knows that he's unbelievably pathetic. can we move on now?
...you'd better ask him that. i had a dim hope this would be the first pointsfix debate he participated in in which he DIDN'T do something incredibly sad. it wasn't. and when i caught him, you're asking ME if we can move on? again, its obvious HE cant move on, so i'm not even bothering.
perhaps you should. perhaps if more people called him out on it instead of just leaving me to do it and criticising me for doing so, he might get the message.

surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:Quote:Okay, let me rephrase that then. if you want to "expose" them, do so without resorting to hostile language
ok, so... when he lies to the community to try to make me look bad, what do you suggest i call him instead of "liar"? i'm all ears. dont resort to semantics. its not the use of the word liar, its BEING CAUGHT RED HANDED LYING AGAIN AND AGAIN (capslockemphasis added by me).
i still don't follow you. so it's fine to call him a liar, but emphasizing the point is "hostile"?

surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:Quote:and dont bring it up in pointfix debates.
sure, just as soon as he stops doing it in pointsfix debates, but he does it in non-pointsfix debates too, so no promises. reminds me of the debate on minarets in switzerland. "Well they dont allow churches in their islamic countries either, WHY SHOULD WE BE ANY BETTER?"
no, because i'm not saying "well, why should I act any better than simpee?" i'd never do the stuff he's done no matter what the provocation.

surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:Quote:doesnt seem to have worked so far, does it?
actually, you'd be surprised how many times he's stopped posting out of sheer humiliation, then started a new argument several months later in the hope that everyone won't see his previous bullshit and my scathing destruction of it.

^
exactly. it is partially successful. i don't claim it's entirely successful, but it's better than nothing.

surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52btw, I want proof of you having once fucked jesus. pics or it didnt happen.
wtf, nobody else has to prove their religious claims! now give me automatic seats in parliament, control over thousands of schools, laws preventing criticism of me and i don't want to pay tax.
