

---

Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plaid guilty :(  
Posted by [HaTe](#) on Fri, 18 Dec 2009 22:40:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Black hand LCG, Engineer, Technician/Hotwire, Gunner, PIC Sydney/Raveshaw, and some others would like to have a word with you.

Obviously i meant light infantry, the ones getting the points discussed in the pointsfix.

Quote:LIGHT INFANTRY ARE NOT MEANT TO BE USED AGAINST TANKS.

The ONLY reason people use them against tanks is because of pointsbug. With pointsfix, I guarantee there will be more anti-tank infantry on the field rather than some asshole shooting at your full health mammoth getting ridiculous amount of points doing absolutely nothing. OH NO HE CAN'T KILL THE TANK!!1 no shit sherlock, that's why you have the plethora of anti-tank infantry for that.

But if they need to be, for credits, they can be useful. Also, for defense, a tank is shooting at you on under, you obviously have no chance of killing it if you are say, a soldier vs a med tank @ full life. The least the soldier can do is get points for defending himself.

Quote:

Anti-infantry units are, guess what- I know this might be hard to wrap your head around, but bear with me- USED TO FIGHT INFANTRY, NOT TANKS.. Once more, if you want anti-tank, GET AN ANTI TANK INFANTRY UNIT. If you want both, GET AN LCG/SBH/SOME SEMBLANCE OF SKILL.

Insulting a person over the internet give a little eboner eh? Okay then. Once again, it's a credit issue, if you do not have credits, and no ref, GUESS WHAT!?! You shoot tanks with light infantry, that's how it is done, it will be much harder to gain credits with the "pointsfix" in. "Sigh" at people attacking me, when i have already said i see both sides, really shows who is on the more defensive side here, with all of my opinions, some for, some against, i am yet to get flamed by an anti - pointsfix person...why so defensive?

Quote:When it causes several members of your team to unfairly get points for doing absolutely nothing worthwhile except getting points and money, yes it is. That's a huge balance issue. The bold words really contradict yourself...except points and money? Isn't that what the whole issue is about, getting points and money? It is not unfair at all, as both teams can do it, and both get credits and points for doing so. It isn't a balance issue, it's just that people can't stand that other people get points and mvp's for shooting tanks with infantry, while they are doing something "worthwhile" by being in a tank. But isn't getting points for your team still "worthwhile" if the game ends by time limit?

Quote:

Once again, ANTI TANK INFANTRY. GDI even has a FREE anti tank unit. Oh, it's too hard to use? Stop sucking so hard, then.

You have never played with me, assuming i suck just on my renegade beliefs is rather...judgmental, no? I happen to be a pretty good player, thanks.

Quote:

Fair enough, you need points to win. So you should get them by not only losing a vital structure, but also by abusing a game bug (which, time and time again, has been proven to be a bug)? OR, do it the LOGICAL WAY, and fight them off with ANTI TANK UNITS WHICH WERE PUT IN FOR A REASON. I can't believe I have to state the same point so many times, but it seems pretty necessary here since you can't seem to understand that there are infact ways to legitimately get points off of tanks and, hey, ACTUALLY DAMAGE THEM! Boohoo, so you're not getting RIDICULOUS AMOUNTS of points. That's called being better than the other team to win in that situation, then. Oh, you're not better? Then why the HELL should you win?

Apparently, if they win, they are better, no matter how they do so. Ped wins are still in many servers, it takes no extra skill to ped nuke/ion to win, why not argue this case?

A game bug you say? I'm pretty sure if this was indeed a "bug" it'd be fixed WELL before this, or do you think that everyone has overlooked this? In that case, you are mistaken.

Quote:Because fending off another team against the odds using nothing but damn good skill and earning more points by a slim amount is clearly very boring and it happens all the time, compared to sitting there shooting tanks all day knowing you'll win by points.

Who says you have to do it all game? Is there a rule I'm missing? If you can't get by light infantry with heavy tanks to win the game, then you don't deserve to win.

Quote:It's called "arguing your opponent's points". I've come to respect Spooky for that, and attempt to do the same myself. If you don't like your points being proven wrong, maybe you should reconsider what the fuck you're arguing.

Get off his wood, along with all the other high authorities on the forums, it's pathetic mate. Sad part is, I wasn't arguing, i was stating my point, before blatantly being attacked, defending myself is so BAD, isn't it?

I tried Spooky's way, it is effective, is it not? I am mocking him by doing so, and mocking you, do i win a cookie?

QUICK, reply and insult me, before anyone joins in on my side, god knows you all have nothing better to do.

---