

---

Subject: Re: What do you guys think about the mac commercials

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sun, 08 Nov 2009 03:48:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That's just too hard to quote, so I'm not going to bother. I'll just label them. (These are the ones responding to me)

Quote #1:

What the hell is 'derp derp?'

Quote #2:

Two things are wrong with your example.

The first thing is that you have the chain backwards. It should be the most complex thing makes it easier for the least complex thing. So being able to drive a tank should mean you can drive a car, not the other way around. (Mind you that outside knowledge still applies. Meaning, just because you can drive the car doesn't mean you know the rules of the road.)

The second thing that is wrong is that they are not 'upgraded models of each other.' The only thing that they remotely have in common is the fact that they are under the category of 'vehicles.' Comparing a bike to a plane is like comparing DOS to OSX.

Quote #3:

You're not getting it. First of all, only a fraction of the issues I had with Vista were user faults that I did spend hours trying to learn but to no success. Obviously there is a learning process... that's a given. But there is a line between learning something new and hunting down what you already know exists (for hours.)

What's even more ridiculous is the fact that you're arguing in favor of Vista's progress and I'm in favor of Windows 7's.

How can you say things like "L2computer" and 'that's what an upgrade is' when I have clearly stated my opinion that Windows 7 is the best Windows OS yet? It sort of upsets your entire argument for Vista's greatness.

How is not being able to cope with an upgrade from XP to Vista a fault of my own when I can make a move from XP to Win7 without a single problem to speak of?

How can you say that my incompetence to use Vista is a result of my ignorance in how upgrades work when I, myself, prefer the even newer, upgraded OS that is Windows 7?

---