

---

Subject: Re: JsxKeule - what do u think?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Mon, 02 Nov 2009 20:37:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Mon, 02 November 2009 14:26Chew wrote on Sun, 01 November 2009 17:36Dover wrote on Sun, 01 November 2009 16:08Yeah, skins are still for faggots.

According to the poll SKINS ARE NOT FOR FAGGOTS... BUT IT IS AGREED UPON THAT SOME FAGGOTS MAKE FAGGOT SKINS. kthnx

R315r4z0r wrote on Sun, 01 November 2009 19:04Yes, I agree. A skin can't be for a faggot because it had to have been made firstly by a faggot. Therefore, it is more correct to say 'faggots make skins.'

It makes perfect sense!

Yet, all this faggotry had to start somewhere, doesn't it? Faggots make skins, but don't skins also make faggots out of otherwise unremarkable people? I suppose it's a matter of which came first, the faggot or the skin, and that's a philosophical debate I'm not prepared to get into at the moment.

I think you're imaging this a bit wrong. See, faggots started making skins so much that they forced it down everyone's throats. Eventually, a few guys lost their will to accept anything good, and due to the flood of mediocrity (at best...), what was considered "good" became pretty vague and hard to define in the wave of faggotry otherwise known as scrin's and etc's skins.

Basically, what's happened with movies nowadays, except with reneskins.

---