
Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:07:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote: i find it hard to believe that the only people in the entire world that dislike spoony are people from the jelly community
in fact i don't believe it
I didn't say that was the case, simply pointed out that the jelly community is obviously what we're talking about here.

liquidv2 wrote: and another thing i don't believe is that people only dislike spoony because he likes the pointmod
I don't believe that either; bitterness at losing arguments is certainly a big factor too, jealousy of eloquence, etc. If you notice, quite a few people who keep saying LOL ESSAY as if that's an insult often try using the same debate techniques as me when they think they can.

liquidv2 wrote: i believe that had every single post speaking out against spoony on the jelly forums been deleted that he would have been displeased
I certainly would have been displeased, if only because if you have something to say to me or about me I think you should be free to just so long as I'm allowed an equal platform to respond.

liquidv2 wrote: and probably would have tried harder to get a reaction out of people, because that's how he does things
Tried harder? Excuse me, but I can "get a reaction" out of the Jelly community simply by saying "actually no I don't cheat" in response to two moderators publicly and seriously claiming that I did (or two and a quarter if you count joetorp as well). It is not necessary to try to get a reaction from your community and I actually don't think I ever have.

liquidv2 wrote: then he posts and posts and just keeps posting until the average person cannot post anymore, but spoony has proven he is not the average person
I actually don't respond at all to many of the flames against me on jelly... is that consistent with your theory?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33: Spoony wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:02: "just as much at fault"?

Just as much? Really?

If you think: let these idiots attack me relentlessly for no reason, I'll just play the game - i.e. if you refuse to be bullied into staying away - that makes you "just as much at fault"? You really, really think this?

would you be flamed if you didn't go to a place you know they hated you?

That's not what I asked. It seems to me an extremely odd thing to say. "If you refuse to be bullied into silence, if you speak your mind in spite of the idiots who will flame you no matter what you say, you're as bad as they are"... or is that an unfair assessment?

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33: you want me to go register at jelly so I can find you links? Do you post things on the points fix there? Do these things state that playing without the

points fix is wrong? It's quite simple as I've see the threads in n00bstories from you debating I can only assume they are somewhat close, although probably more heated. To answer your request No I will not go register at Jelly so I can browse through topics I don't care about. After all your previous assertions you now admit you've never even seen any of these threads on jelly at all? Oh dear. It is true that someone might have guessed that, of course.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33If they are giving you shit then yes I would criticize them. I don't know what they are saying as I have stated in what you just quoted there, "I DON'T GO TO JELLY". If they are giving you shit then yeah it's probably not deserved. But it is happening and you have the power to make it stop. If you continue to go there then yes you are just as much at fault for putting yourself in the position.
Ah, I believe that answers my question; you do think the two positions are equal. The person who tries to bully someone into silence despite them doing nothing wrong, and the person who refuses to be cowed into submission by it. No moral distinction between the two, in your mind.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33Quote:kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:38Way to take a broad comment and try to make it look like I know what the fuck you are talking about when it comes to CW.cc or anything else you talk about on jelly. That was a very dishonest move on your part there and not appreciated.
Er... you're saying it's dishonest of me to assume you knew what you were talking about? Even after saying I don't know anything about CW.cc You assume I know what's going on with CW.cc.... nice logic there
Do you think you're ahead at this point?

you say... you're the instigator because blahblahblah and you give clanwars.cc as an example. i point out that actually no, i was defending myself against a huge list of made-up-on-the-spot false accusations by a jelly moderator who simply wanted to make the ladder look bad. and you say: "it's dishonest of you to assume i knew what the fuck i was talking about"

dishonest? hardly... perhaps overly generous.

kadoosh wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:33Do what ever you want I'm done with you. It's pointless to try to logically have a discussion with someone who is only trying to play the victim. sorry, were you trying to use logic? i'm finding it rather difficult to tell. were you trying to be logical when you said the person who tries to bully someone into submission is morally equal to the person who won't be cowed by it? were you trying to be logical when you said it was dishonest of me to assume that you actually knew what you were talking about?
