

---

Subject: Re: Is it really Michael Jackson?

Posted by [TORN](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 14:43:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

u6795 wrote on Tue, 08 September 2009 18:48TORN wrote on Tue, 08 September 2009

11:38Thanks,I was looking for that hat.

Sorry but he's still a guilty pos.

I can play post a link too,but it dosen't mean anything.

Did you see the interview where that boy was holding hands with him and putting his head on MJs shoulder?Saying they loved each other and nothing wrong with that?

Sick shit.

You probably believe OJ was innocent too. LOL

Posting a link means a lot when it contains facts you dipshit, and the facts in this case are he was acquitted and is innocent of the charges. Move on with your life.

Durrrp hurr de duurrrrr I bet you think the moon landings were fake also. LOL

Just because he was found not guilty dosen't mean he's not guilty.If you're so smart,then you should know our court system fails. ALOT

durrrr durrrrrr

Believe everything you read and take it as fact?

P.S.

I like how all you "smart" and "well informed" people go straight to the name calling.

Dosen't make you look good.

And you can shove whatever "facts" and the not guilty shit in my face all you want.

He was a sick fuck,and for some reason you fags want to stick up for him.

And saying OJ is a different story.How so?

He was a guilty prick and found not guilty just like MJ.

Also,you CAN love a child,but the way he acted with kids just didn't seem right.And stop trying to be funny with your extreme bullshit about beating kids.

Get a real argument.

---