

---

Subject: Re: 9/11

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Tue, 01 Sep 2009 22:57:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

appshot wrote on Tue, 01 September 2009 17:44i am not sure if i am correct or not... but the world trade center shouldn't have fallen straight down. The laws of physics don't permit it to fall down straight... unless there was a systematical dynamite. And also.. how was it that the building right next to it fell down, way after the original building collapse, and it also fell down straight...WITH NO FIRE OR ANYTHING.

What the FUCK.

Ok, imagine you have a house of cards. You pull one card out, what happens? The entire thing collapses. It doesn't fall sideways.

The point is, the towers weren't completely rigid. If you've ever been in a skyscraper before, you'll find that it twists and swings about slightly in the wind. If it were completely rigid, then it would fall sideways, but this isn't the case.

The surrounding buildings being damaged was pretty obvious and self-explanatory as well. For god's sakes, a SKYSCRAPER collapsed RIGHT next to it! You expected them to stay standing after that?!

Your logic makes me cringe.

---