
Subject: Re: Splitting the record - about the virtual lock

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 01 Sep 2009 04:49:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[quote title=spotelmo wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 21:32]spotelmo wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 21:32no, i can't leave ted alone. not after the crap he tried to pull right before his death. trying to ensure that a democrat takes over his seat when just a few years ago he got the law changed because he was worried a then-republican governor would appoint a republican.

Welcome to politics. He is neither the first nor will he be the last to pull stunts like that. If you hold grudges against politicians for something so relatively petty, you're going to have to hate almost every politician in the world on a personal level. I don't know about you, but I don't have the energy for that.

By the way, trying to influence who gets your seat after you seems so small and insignificant compared to preemptive wars, lying to the public about WMDs, breaking the Geneva convention, etc etc, yet most Republicans wouldn't dare call Bush out on any of these much more serious offenses. Be honest with me. It's not about Ted Kennedy; it's about what he represents (Represented?). It's just more partisan bullshit rather than any specific grievance.

spotelmo wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 21:32as for the fact that the three i mentioned are all democrats, probably a sub conscious thing. same can be said for newt gingrich, mike steele, lee terry and other republicans

At least I'm glad you can admit it. Now you need to work on fixing it.

spotelmo wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 21:32
gotta disagree there. tarp, stimulus package and health care debate all show that this isn't true.

I'm not sure what you're getting at here. What does that have to do with prohibition?

spotelmo wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 21:32
it's easier to regulate cigarettes and alcohol because it's easy to put a tax stamp on both and put them in a convenience store. we know who's producing/importing them, and it's pretty much world wide legal to sell them.

meth on the other hand is produced in the trunk of some moron's car. likely won't be sold on the shelves of your local grocery store, and isn't legal anywhere that i know of.

You're stating facts but you're not stating WHY. Meth is made in some moron's car precisely because it's illegal. History shows that it's the same with alcohol. In fact, you could point to alcohol that's still illegal (Moonshine) and see that people are still making in home-made since they can't purchase it legally. There's nothing special about Meth in this sense.

spotelmo wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 21:32cocaine and heroin is mostly produced by drug lords in south america and afghanistan and even if America made them legal, other countries won't and the drug wars would continue.

What? The US is the only country that allocates resources on the "War on drugs". Without the US,

there is no Drug War.
