
Subject: Re: Question for Christians

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 13 Jun 2009 03:31:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 21:19Spoony wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 21:10I see quotes like this and I honestly feel sorry for you. It really is depressing to see the damage religion has done your brain. Not because it's retarded your understanding of the world, but more importantly, it's made you completely oblivious to any kind of moral clarity, any understanding of 'right and wrong'.

Probably the same feelings of pity I have for you and your binding to science.
Uh, 'binding to science'? What does that even mean?

I get the feeling you only said that because I said it. NO U! Read my post again; I was speaking in moral terms, not in terms of which scientific ideas are true. If you can't see anything morally wrong with threatening people with the most horrific punishment imaginable for the 'crime' of disagreeing with you, then you really, REALLY don't know right from wrong, and I don't think religion ought to be absolved from inflicting this damage upon you.

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 21:19Let's put it this way: if God did create everything, etc etc, why wouldn't you obey? Because you don't agree with what you think his views are? See how far that goes.

It's certainly a good start. As I've said, the Bible portrays him as an unjust, merciless, cruel, bullying mass murderer. Maybe you haven't fully read it, or maybe you just think it's ok for him to behave in such an appalling manner as the book reports him as behaving. Even if that wasn't the case, I still think ideas like democracy, freedom of choice and so on are worth having, and my ideas on human rights are nearly two thousand years more advanced. For example, I think women ought to have the same rights in society as men. I think a person ought to be able to enjoy their sex life with other consenting adults. I think thoughtcrime is immoral. I think democracy is better than an unchallengeable dictatorship. I think freedom of speech trumps blasphemy laws. I think a child's right to a fair education trumps their parents' (or the state's) right to indoctrinate them.

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 21:19And if he didn't... don't worry about it.
We're back in "the gun I'm threatening you with isn't loaded" territory.

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 21:19Spoony wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 21:10In one case it's a conclusion reached by studying a great deal of evidence, and we're finding new evidence supporting it ALL THE TIME. In the other case... we've got nothing. How the FUCK can you compare the two?

I can, because I don't believe in this so-called "evidence" and "conclusions" they come up with.[/quote]

Right... so every time a fossil is found which is older than, say, 10000 years old... (we find these all the time)... it's fake, is it?

Every time geological, archaeological or astronomical studies show the earth to be very much older than 10000 years old is fake, is it?

What've you got proving the other side? The Bible. Answer these questions, please.

Who wrote it?

When?

Why?

How did they know what to write? Is it possible they were lying, or crazy?

Did they see the events for themselves, and if so, is it possible they were mistaken?

Did they instead hear it from other people, and if so, is it possible they were lying, or crazy, or mistaken?

Finally, I'd still like to know how you define "repented". How did you "repent" of these things which, by your own statements, would've sent you to hell?
