

---

Subject: Re: Question for Christians

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 13 Jun 2009 02:10:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 20:54 We're talking 2 different freedoms here. Freedom of choice, and freedom of authority.

Hell is a big reason many dislike Christianity. "He'd never do something like that if he loved us!" Why?

I see quotes like this and I honestly feel sorry for you. It really is depressing to see the damage religion has done your brain. Not because it's retarded your understanding of the world, but more importantly, it's made you completely oblivious to any kind of moral clarity, any understanding of 'right and wrong'.

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 19:44 Spoony wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 20:46 Doesn't it compare to God? Doesn't it compare to the creationist argument that we ought to do what God says because he created us?

No.

Why? What's the difference? If we must all do as God says because he created us, then the same would apply if you found out you were created by a modern-day Frankenstein or a race of aliens... or, gosh, your parents.

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 19:44 And don't evolutionists brainwash children in science classes?

I can't speak from experience, because evolution wasn't taught in science classes at my schools. The ridiculous bible myths were, with the same certainty as what was taught in maths or geography or history, and the teacher never said "by the way, there's no proof at all for any of this, it does defy most of what we understand about the world and the universe and ourselves" - that, my friend, is brainwashing. If you tell people God did this and that as though it was a fact, without pointing out that there's zero proof for it, it's brainwashing.

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 19:44 Spoony wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 20:46 I really don't see how you can compare the two and keep a straight face. We actually know quite a bit about one, and it doesn't defy the rules of science as we understand them, AND it has the bonus of being possible to reproduce in a laboratory; we know absolutely N-O-T-H-I-N-G about the other.

I don't see how 'science' can prove something that happened 'millions of years ago' just as much as anyone says they can't see how God created it all 4000 years ago.

Read the quote you were replying to, eh?

In one case it's a conclusion reached by studying a great deal of evidence, and we're finding new evidence supporting it ALL THE TIME. In the other case... we've got nothing. How the FUCK can you compare the two?

Altzan wrote on Fri, 12 June 2009 19:44 Scientists say the Earth is millions of years old. One of my reasons I don't trust them.

Billions, actually. Add a few zeroes.

---