Subject: Re: Question for Christians Posted by Dover on Wed, 27 May 2009 06:04:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 26 May 2009 18:07Two things: Your statement is incorrect. To have something that denies or defies logic, doesn't mean it denies its validity. It just means that its validity is called into question.

That's what I meant.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 26 May 2009 18:07[color=red]You are still missing the point of what I'm trying to say. Just because something exists that says something else is what it is, doesn't make it an absolute truth. For example, scientific laws that were defined centuries ago are still, to this day, being proven incorrect and new theories and knowledge is taking their place. There is always at least one exception to every rule.

You misunderstand the term "law" when it comes to science. Things that have even the remotest possibility of being incorrect are not laws. That's why it's still called "Theory of Gravity", even though the concept of gravity is pretty basic.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 26 May 2009 18:07Now, moving onto what I was trying to imply. All "logic" isn't the same, like you seem to be implying. It depends on the situation and what you are taking into question. If you can logically figure out something one way, that doesn't mean that one way will work for every other problem out there.

Just like that parents paying your tuition example is different and uses different logic to this Jesus example. They are different situations, different rules to different games, if you will. If you have to role a 6 in order to start moving your chips in the game 'Trouble,' that doesn't mean you have to follow the same rule when you are playing a game like Monopoly. Even if the 'games' have similarities, that doesn't mean they follow the same ideals.

In one example, you are given the choice of what happens. In the other example, you aren't. Therefore, with that fact alone, the two are completely different and therefore are unrelated. You cannot relate them through logic because the logic used is different in each scenario.

TL;DRing these several paragraphs, and combining them with your "Religion isn't logical" comment from earlier, it seems like you're trying to create a protected, logic-proof status for religion(s). While it would be nice from a believer's perspective, the world simply doesn't work that way.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 26 May 2009 18:07You seem to be too tied down to life to understand. Take what my signature says to heart and use it to move ahead!

Kick logic to the curb? I'd rather get AIDS and die.