

---

Subject: Re: Bring back Westwood file.

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sat, 06 Dec 2008 00:21:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 05 December 2008 17:45R315r4z0r wrote on Fri, 05 December 2008 15:41They know the most about it and how it's current fans are.

Bullshit. C&C3 was shameful. The directing was just way over the top. I don't mind for RA3, that's kind of what RA2 was, and RA3 wasn't meant to be super serious and tie in with the series. But, C&C3 should have been a little bit better. If I recall, Joe Kucan directed the previous C&C games. C&C3, however, he didn't.

I could go in depth as to why C&C3 just isn't very C&C at all, but that should be incredibly obvious when you play it.

R315r4z0r wrote on Fri, 05 December 2008 15:41And of all companies, Petro would be the WORST one to give rights of the C&C universe to.

Lies. Petroglyph is known for making good RTS's. It's mostly because they're not a super duper huge company that they haven't been top notch quality. However, if EA allowed Petroglyph to develop, and EA simply produced, then it would be amazing.

Completely agree with you...very valid points. C&C 3 was not good and RA3 is basically C&C 3 but with different units/different universe.

Not to mention the shamelessly uninnovative RTS formula that's been beaten to death hundreds of times before.

Plus RA3 went flying through the ceiling for it's overuse/abuse of female characters and too much comedy. RA2 was fun and humorous too but I always felt it had that sort of dark war tone hanging in there.

Unless EA is given an insanely huge buyout, they are not gonna give up C&C rights.

---