

---

Subject: Re: Red Alert 3 buying survey  
Posted by [bisen11](#) on Wed, 08 Oct 2008 17:19:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Surth wrote on Wed, 08 October 2008 12:19TD wrote on Wed, 08 October 2008 09:36Surth wrote on Wed, 08 October 2008 11:17TD wrote on Wed, 08 October 2008 00:38Surth wrote on Tue, 07 October 2008 20:29No? games being pirated was caused by people not wanting to buy them legally. Sorry to burst your bubble.  
I'll blast a bubble in ur face

DRM sucks, people buying the game will have a harder time playing it than people downloading and cracking it. It's basically like 'Hey, we can't stop all the people who download it, so let's just make it harder for the people who actually buy it legally to pirate the game!' And they achieve this by not allowing these people to install it more than 5 times, whereas people who download it can install it as many times as they want.

IMO, the game should be protected by its own serial like RA2, allowing only one game to be connected with one valid serial for online gameplay. EA is basically focusing on protecting the WHOLE game (including single player), which requires this stupid DRM protection.

I vote, all download RA3 until EA decides to remove the DRM fully. Hey there mister Carmanufacturer, your Car is flawed. thus, I grant myself the right to steal it.

Allow me to fuel my legally bought car more than 5 times and we have a deal.  
Look, its not about the fact that the cars dealer is an idiot whose product has flaws. its about the way you react. Instead of not buying a car from him, you decide to steal his product.  
Besides, are you seriously gonna reinstall the game every 3 days like you refuel your car?

Well, you made the same kind of analogy with the stealing of the car. You don't take it away from him, you simply make a copy of it therefor they keep there's, and you have one just like it :/ .

---