
Subject: Re: McCain picks his vp.
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Wed, 03 Sep 2008 02:51:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JohnDoe wrote on Wed, 03 September 2008 00:38Ryu wrote on Tue, 02 September 2008 17:41JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 02 September 2008 22:18R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 02 September 2008 16:14Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 02 September 2008 00:18 The fact that anybody finds an uncircumcised prick disgusting is grounded in learned cultural perception- and if we're circumcising our kids because we think it looks nicer, we're doing it for entirely the wrong reason anyway.

As for the reasoning behind it, I always thought that it was to prevent cancer.. I heard that that skin they cut off was unhealthy for you.

WTF???

^

Apparently it's to "Prevent" STD's (which is bullshit).. It was a tradition hundreds of years ago to prevent fungus growing there due to lack of clean water for cleansing, etc.

But.. circumcising your baby's is just wrong, in 16 years time they'll probably hate and disown you for making a choice they didn't want, It should be a choice you make when you're old enough to think for yourself, really.

It does prevent AIDS and other STDs to an extent and would help lower the number of infections in 3rd world countries...in the civilized world, the only medical reason would be phimosis.

I'm not so sure on how it prevents STD's, You can still get crabs, a womans juice can still slide down your pee-hole and infect you, or cold sores (I forgot the medical name for that infection) on yer dong, all with or without the skin.

I mean, with a cut dick I'd think it would make it easier to infect you, since your foreskin can be a protective layer.

But again, I'm no doctor nor scientist so yeah.
