
Subject: Re: Fixing... Points?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 30 Aug 2008 16:36:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 30 August 2008 00:16Quote:the anti-pointsfix crowd continually try to explain their opinion by making statements like "westwood didn't intend this" or "you can't get points for tankfighting now", etc etc. When someone says this, it's not opinion they're trying to deal with, it's fact.

this really doesn't have anything to do with the topic i've suggested

That's because you seem to think that it doesn't matter whether you know what the fuck you're talking about when it comes to deciding league policy. I happen to think it is rather important.

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 30 August 2008 00:16Quote:When simpee says you can't get points for tankfighting now, he's not actually offering an opinion; he's TRYING to deal in facts... he's TRYING to use a mathematical argument. Unfortunately his maths are, quite simply, wrong.... demonstrably so.

no; he's saying that compared to before you get immensely less points for tankfighting you're assuming too much yet again

Why don't you actually read my posts?

Yes, he is saying that you get immensely less points for tankfighting.

AND HE HAS BEEN MATHEMATICALLY PROVEN WRONG.

I've said this over and over again; I'm getting a little tired of you absolutely failing to even read what I'm saying. I'll probably just put you on ignore to return the favour if you keep it up.

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 30 August 2008 00:16Quote:Just like the "westwood didn't intend it" stuff. shit, with westwood not around who knows what was or what wasn't intended; all we can do now is venture our best guesses based on the information we have, but we'll never know for sure Two things. Firstly, the evidence indicating that the pointsfix is what the points system ought to have been is ABSOLUTELY STAGGERING, and none of it has ever been refuted. Secondly, many people at Smak on the anti-pointsfix crowd have claimed that they DO know for sure that the pointsbug is what was really intended, in contradiction of all the evidence...

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 30 August 2008 00:16Quote:I've pointed this important distinction out about a dozen times; basically every time someone says something and gets proven wrong, they say "OMG ITS AN OPINION" so they don't have to admit it or reconsider.

explain how this applies to someone saying "I dislike the pointmod and do not want it used in clanwars."

Because, like I said, I happen to think that rules should be decided by people who actually know what they're talking about. At Clanwars.cc, rules are decided by considering them carefully, looking at the evidence, and making a logical determination... not by the rant and bullying and bending the truth that the anti-pointsfix crowd has done from the very beginning of the debate and still are doing. Secondly, if someone has managed to be spectacularly wrong about EVERY SINGLE FUCKING THING THEY'VE SAID (as everyone who's argued against the pointsfix on Smak has been... plus half of them have also demonstrated their willingness to lie about stuff to try to sway opinion), I rather object to their opinion on a rule having as much weight as mine.

This is in addition to the fact that everyone you're defending is hardly in a great position to tell me anything at all about what the league rules should be, remember? Those lovely six people you think should decide the rules? One cheater, three consistent rulebreakers, one guy who tried to kill the league out of anger at his friend being caught pointpushing, and one person who says the game's about to die but refuses to do anything about it. Compare those to me, the guy who solely owns the league and without whom it wouldn't exist... there, you now have two very good reasons why their opinions are worth considerably less than mine.

If you disagree with that, host your own league and run it democratically. Except we've already established that you don't think the players are worth your time and trouble.

Well, I say 'democratically'... if I don't have the right to control something that is entirely mine, it sounds a bit more like fascism or communism to me.

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 30 August 2008 00:16Quote:And yet the same nonsense is repeated again and again; you just gave it another airing. It's quite depressing that the difference between an opinion and a fact needs to be explained to an adult...

you're trying to spin your way out of this

No, I'm just proving you wrong yet again; predictably, you're too arrogant to admit it (again) so you just try to make me look like the bad guy.

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 30 August 2008 00:16i just want you to get an honest count from your community about this issue

Why? I've already given you extremely good reasons why league policy is not decided by majority. Why are you pretending you give a shit, when we've already established that you consider the league players to be utterly beneath you?
