

---

Subject: Re: GLOBAL WARMING

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Mon, 30 Jun 2008 03:23:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

@ cheesesda:

Going back to what I said and your response, you see, that is not what I meant. You see when an animal falls down dead, its remains get eaten by insects and then rot away...even the bones. It does not fossilize.

But in the remains of the dinosaurs, we only find fossils of them. A fossil is made when the animal is completely covered in mud after which the minerals in the mud and sediment replace the tissue and other soft parts of the dead animal. It will take massive amounts of mud and sediment to cover large dinosaurs...and yet they are found this way.

Holding that thought, refer to this page very quickly:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deluge\\_%28mythology%29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deluge_%28mythology%29)

Funny how it is conveniently branded as "mythology"...myths covering and spanning across several cultures independent of each other just are not made up all of a sudden.

Today, when crap happens, Hollywood makes a movie. It was no different in the ancient times...they wrote it down and told stories. There is no denying that a massive flood took place. It is quite rational to believe that it was this flood that wiped out the bigger life-forms such as dinosaurs.

Heck, I am not even using the Bible here! The media conveniently always presents the Bible as the sole source of all flood related stories while it misrepresents the flood stories of many different cultures. This is done to both undermine the flood story (and its threat to evolutionary chain) and the Christian religion also. It is completely possible that groups of humanity survived the flood...again, it DOES NOT have to be Noah on his Ark. You can even rationalize that they took many animals with them...to eat and feed.

How the flood happened? We don't know...could be rain, or an asteroid impact on the oceans. Think about the massive mudslides and shifts of sediment.

If a meteor hit and the resulting dust clouds choked off the dinosaurs, we wouldn't be finding that MANY dinosaur "fossils"...they would have rot away. But we can most certainly rationalize that some did get preserved but not in the amounts we see now. You need those 65 million years for it to work.

The funny thing about evolution is that dogs are allowed to have different species (breeds) but when it comes to upright walking bipeds, it is automatically branded as a continuation of an evolving group of mammals! There WERE different species of man...Neanderthals and Cro Magnon...and there were many more kinds. It is highly unlikely that it was part of an evolutionary chain of homo sapiens.

I have high respect for those who are smarter than me but I also understand the fragility of the

human mind. Science is nothing but a record of the organized discoveries of man...discoveries of objects and phenomonen that were/are already there but left only to be found and "intelluctually catalogued" and added to the knowledge base of a arrogant sentient species.

NOTE: I edited my post changing minor sentences. Sorry for inconvenience.

EDIT FINAL: Fixed errors...no more edits. Apologies.

---