

---

Subject: Re: Gun control

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Jun 2008 18:18:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

God, you two Europeans are just as thick as you claim I am.

I don't give a fuck if guns were designed to kill. Oh-fucking-well. That's beside the god damn point. The fact is, just about anything can be used to kill whether or not the fucking thing was intended for that purpose. Are you going to tell me that homosexuality is wrong because our genitals are for pro-creation? That's what they were intended for, right? Must mean there's no other reason to use them or have them.

Taking away a weapon doesn't always make murder harder. It just makes the person have to choose a different tactic. Sure, if I come running at you with a stick, I have no element of surprise. I could, then, just as easily come from behind you, slit your throat, and be done with it.

Not to mention that getting rid of guns from civilians doesn't change the fucking obvious point that **CRIMINALS. WILL. STILL. HAVE. GUNS.** Even if you had the government raid every household (talk about the invasion of privacy) to get rid of guns, that still doesn't change the fact that guns can be created out of raw materials (shall we ban steel, aluminum, brass, copper, etc... because it could be used to make weaponry?), let alone you will never be able to get rid of half of the guns out there.

If you're walking alone, and you have a gun, the smart thing would be to have your hand on your gun at all times. This removes the whole element of surprise on the attacker's part, as you're just as quick to draw your weapon.

Would you idiots stop insisting that an attacker is automatically going to shoot you? **THAT'S NOT THE INTENT.** If someone wants you dead, you really don't have much of a chance. Since that's not the general intent of a criminal, you'll generally have the upperhand, as you **SHOULD** have the desire to stay alive.

---