
Subject: Re: British MPs back creation of human-animal embryos

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 26 May 2008 14:31:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ryu wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 22:31 Starbuzz wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 18:41 warranto wrote on Fri, 23 May 2008 12:21 Quote: I have two rare "orphan" diseases, and I oppose this research. Science has brought more misery than help. It is absurd to think this won't be used to grow living hybrids. The a-bomb was supposed to stop here; it didnt; it exceeded its bounds.

Margaret, Los Alamos NM, US

Another great quote... especially the stuff in bold.

Being sarcastic or serious?

obviously sarcastic, science is what gives you a tetanus shot after being bitten by an animal, that needle injection that saves your life from meningitis.

science that figured out how to pump your stomach from alcohol before your kidneys fail to filter it and you lose two kidneys.

yup, science fucked this world over!

Right, sarcastic.

It's tough to qualify whether "more" harm than good came from science. Has harm come from science? Yes. But as Aircraftkiller said, it's the motivation and the use (but to add one, the results of the use) that carries the blame, rather than the tool.

I say results because it may just be that the motivation and the use were correct, but the result is harm. Hollywood is always good for examples: I Am Legend shows the result of harm when motivation and use were pure.
