Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by Spoony on Wed, 14 May 2008 12:04:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Goztow wroteBack on topic plz, or this topic will be split.

Frankly, I recommend that's exactly what you do.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45I'm not even talking about the DQ itself anymore, sure it was legit... It just fucked up the tournament by DQ'ing 2 decent players, you could've warned and let it go, but let's leave that aside before you say I am really really furious about it again. ok, light is dawning on you, albeit rather slowly.

Here's the rub.

1. If the DQ was legit, and anyone with the slightest amount of sense can see that it was (even you are finally coming around to this revelation), then you are entirely responsible for it.

2,.If the DQ "fucked up the tournament" (which smacks of overblown self-importance to me, but hey), then it logically follows that YOU fucked up the tournament.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45I am just talking about the fact that you keep repeating I (and simpee) am (are) 'truly angry' or 'furious' over it and that I begged you to unDQ. This lie needs to be confronted head-on. "you keep repeating..."

I made a grand total of one post announcing the DQ. That's all. It wasn't even the main content of the post. You and simple complained shout it OVER AND OVER ACAIN. I didn't even reply to the

the post. You and simpee complained about it OVER AND OVER AGAIN. I didn't even reply to the majority of them, I'd already said all that needed to be said.

so, I'd dropped the subject right after I clicked the DQ button - you kept bringing it up over and over again.

then, the community match comes around and you and simpee try to fuck it up, you lie to our opposition saying I'm not on the team, when the team was never yours to pick in the first place. Any objective observer knows you would never have done this if you weren't DQ'd, therefore it is a direct result of the DQ. Yet since the DQ was legit, you're in the wrong again. (Still, even if the DQ wasn't legit, you would still have no right to do that in the community match, whereas I would still have the right to DQ you from a tournament which I solely administrate)

Even after you did that, what did I do in response?

NOTHING. I didn't ban you from anything, I didn't make a post saying how gay you were, I didn't announce that you'd be excluded from future community matches... I didn't do anything in response to your faggotry.

What happens next?

You carry on bitching and whining about the DQ. I eventually suspend you for a time.

Those are the facts. So go ahead and spew out this "you keep repeating..." bullshit; anyone neutral who's looked at the situation objectively can easily tell you're lying.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45And now you base my anger on the fact that I posted here about it, whereas you also posted, so I guess you're kinda really mad as well, because you are posting about it. Now you might say that I made the first post about it, but that's really a dumb argument.

actually it isn't. I posted about something entirely different; you started crying about the DQ in response to a completely irrelevant post. Not because what I said had anything to do with it, but simply because I said anything at all. ONCE AGAIN, it's you who "keeps repeating" this crap, not me.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45Ljbh, you know I ain't angry at all perhaps; but anyone can tell you're obsessive. I'm not angry about this situation either, I never was, but you keep implying that I am. The difference is, I don't care in the slightest when you do. When I say you're angry, it clearly cuts you very deeply indeed, to the point where you feel irresistably compelled to try to prove otherwise.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45You never lose debates, right? This is a classic straw-man argument. I never said "hi, I'm spoony and I never lose debates". This is what people love to say when THEY lose debates and THEY would rather spew crap like that rather than admit it.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45we have a real dicktator leading renegade clanwars.cc. OMG DICTATORSHIP!!

sorry, but Clanwars.cc has always been a dictatorship, it was the case long before I became an admin there or even heard of the site. Many websites are, you know. If you'd prefer a system that resembles a democratic country like Britain and America are supposed to be, I'm perfectly open to the idea. It'll also require a "tax" system whereby players will have to pay subscriptions, however.

I mean that quite literally... we can make the Renegade league a democracy with absolutely free elections to decide who the admins will be, if a player subscription is in place. That revenue can be a "salary" to the admin(s) and perhaps a chunk goes to the site's running costs. Otherwise, I'll remain in control of who runs it.