

---

Subject: Re: Firsts

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Thu, 08 May 2008 21:20:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nikki6ixx wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 21:26 I wasn't responding to your post, or anyone's in particular, really. If I did, I'd have quoted you, or anyone else.

It was obvious.

nikki6ixx wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 21:26 And dude, you really ought to tone down the attitude a bit. It only turns this stuff into stupid flame wars.

What is responsible for flame wars? My attitude or your inability to control yourself in posting references to my attitude? There are certain "games" I won't ever play nice but I keep it logical. If you still can't stop complaining, then I believe the problem is not on my side.

cheesesoda wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 16:35 Nikki, he doesn't like music (or, REAL music, requiring the use of musical instruments). It explains why he's so tense.

I thought you were more mature than this. I was wrong. Very wrong.

CarrierII wrote on Thu, 08 May 2008 15:42 At the same time, Starbuzz, in attacking religion so strongly, you just look like a zealot without a banner to stand under.

If I was truly attacking religion, I wouldn't be taking a neutral stand by indirectly defending the religious by referring to the art school student suspension case.

If I was mindlessly attacking religion, then why would I bring up the art school case? I could have simply based all my arguments on Blazer's Fox news link and started basing my arguments from there. Instead, I gave a unbiased (but stern) view of the problem in the first part of my post while a zealot would have ignorantly jumped the gun.

---