

---

Subject: Re: question to christians about jesus  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 13:50:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:It does not matter if it is God or the Bible...both are the same. This is your faulty logic I have been trying to point out. Unlike other religions, in Christianity, you cannot escape with trying to seperate the Bible and God. Both are the same as the Bible is "God's Word" and the Bible is an insight into God.

Just because you seem to lack the ability to separate the two doesn't mean it can't be done. I've done it many times.

Quote:See, your whole useless arguments and your questions are all begging me for evidence! You keep basing your argument on the fact that I have this "evidence" and that I am obliged to provide it. My "evidence" is to have a open mind and look at other theories to our existence that explain things way better.

Sorry, this doesn't work. We hare having a philosophical argument, meaning you have to be able to justify your claims. "Having an open mind" does not qualify as justifying anything.

Quote:Rather than argue that, you keep asking for evidence on God (you enjoy that don't you?). The point of the whole thread is the validity of a mystical man who lived some 2000 years ago and some error-filled texts.

Perhaps that is how it started, but then the idea of God came into the topic, so made the claim that it can not be proven whether or not God can exist, nor does proving a religion wrong prove that God does not exist. You decided to dispute this, which is where we are now.

Quote:You simply came to a conclusion about "God" that's all. You never said anything about proof

Go back and read what I said when this argument started. I said that God can be neither proven nor disproven.

Quote:Looks pretty rigid to me. You seem to imply that even if religious practices/religious texts were proven false, there would always be "God."

Read what I said again. Proving a religion wrong does not affect the existence of God. All this is "rigid" about is saying that you need to find another source to attack if you want to disprove the existence of God.

Quote:That is the whole point of the argument you enjoy missing out. As I mentioned above, the validity of "God", the god with the capital G, is DIRECTLY tied to the error-filled texts known as the Bible. If it weren't for the Bible, "God" would not be so famous. Some other higher being to explain everything would be.

Right from the Dictionary:

God

–noun 1. the one Supreme Being, the creator and ruler of the universe.

"God" talks about a supreme being that, in some way, created the universe. It does not specify ONE type of God. Any religion can lay claim to use of the capital G.

Quote:It is you who likes to drag the conversation to COMPLETELY useless areas of discussion.

What you have done so far is what typical Christians who defend their faith do: spin it. Let's cut to the crap shall we?

Actually, I have done nothing to drag the conversation to useless areas of discussion. You insist on answering made-up arguments rather than the questions asked. I have done nothing to defend my faith. All I have done is say that the existence of God can not be proven or disproven, and that attacking religion and proving it wrong does not affect the idea of a God's existence.

Show me where I once defended the Catholic religion.

---