
Subject: Re: Back to topic

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Wed, 16 Jul 2003 03:39:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

be the case, just send it on over to killer_bee@cox-internet.com, or just email him letting him

started because Ack does not like Killer_Bee, so he has to start a flame war and ruin his thread.
Bottom line.

1. The first reply to turn the discussion personal:
xSeth2k2xcan you ever be positive about anything?

This was said in reply to ACK's "Well, as you just outlined, other sites are already well-known. This is rather pointless, and would serve only to make finding things more difficult.". What ACK said in his first reply to that thread was neither an insult or a "flame". It was just to point out the fact that there are many different sites with tutorials, and it would be almost pointless to do another one. Although it wouldn't make finding things more difficult, it would be pointless to make a new tutorial site that would have the same tutorials that every other site has.

2. The first reply to insult, or attack was made by you.
CaptkurtAircraftkillerWell, as you just outlined, other sites are already well-known. This is rather pointless, and would serve only to make finding things more difficult.

you admit it. To most people Having more stores to find something in, makes it easier to find that

Imdgr8oneHe's realistic.

Well in your case, I have to say since you have the same mentality as Ack, I understand why you

confused. Boo Hoo.

AircraftkilleroxSeth2k2xcan you ever be positive about anything? :rolleyes:

Of course I can, but your post wasn't necessarily the epitome of positive thinking, though.

And now, to the normal people of this forum, it only makes since to me to have more stores to

mine.

Oh yeah, I see where AircraftKiller "Ack'ed" everything up, lol.

The "flame war" was started by you, CaptKurt.
