Subject: Re: Holy highways and stoning devils... Posted by Jecht on Mon, 21 Jan 2008 10:01:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Starbuzz wrote on Sat, 19 January 2008 10:38Jecht wrote on Sat, 19 January 2008 09:00Starbuzz wrote on Sat, 19 January 2008 00:49Jecht wrote on Fri, 18 January 2008 17:12I was talking about the highway.

Maybe you should go read again. Sure they are not hurting anyone but they are shoving their damn morals into the throats of the adult business owners in the area.

If I owned an adult business and some religious nut cases went around outside praying for the "deliverance of the lost souls" in the area, I would be really pissed.

Muslims generally tend to take action physically which may or may not lead to riots, demonstrations, and violence.

Christians are more dangerous as, like Yuri, they aim for your mind with false beliefs. Once you loose your mind, you are also physically crippled to do anything.

This is why fighting militant Muslims is a lot easier than fighting fanatical Christians. With militant Muslims, you just kill them when they are coming for you. With fanatical Christians, you have to argue with enormous skill and knowledge patiently to disprove them and their man-written fairy tale book with which they take pleasure in binding themselves to all the days of their worthless lives.

If they're on their property, then the authorities can have them removed. If they're not, and they're allowed to protest in the area. Theres little anyone can do about it. That's the same problem we had with the war protesters a few years ago. As for your fairy tale statement: Please respect the fact that some of us are Christians. I'm not asking you to believe what I do, but please don't let your ethnocentrism generalize something neither of us truly understand. It comes across like you hate all Christians.

If you cared to read what I wrote with your head where it should have been, you would realize that I was specifically referring to "fanatical Christians."

So, no bullshit accusations of "generalizations" please.

Thanks.

Very nice, correcting people with underlying ad-hominem is surely the best way to have a civil conversation. If you didn't want the other side of the arguement, just say so in the thread title. Have fun in this thread debating with yourself. Cheers