
Subject: Re: And yet you people still say the American Army isn't capable of mass rapes

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 08:40:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

prasp wrote on Wed, 16 January 2008 02:11Quote:The title also implies, as mentioned before, that this rape was ordered by the American Army. However the rape was the action of a single man not acting under orders from the American Army, hence it cannot be considered that the American Army committed rape, but that a single male committed rape, and it just happens to be the case that he is in the American Army. If a Tesco employee (or other supermarket chain) commits rape, that does not mean Tesco commits rape.

Was I implying it? No, you only heard what you wanted to hear and, hundreds of individual soldiers after the invasion was over decided to take the aforementioned action stated above, and their higher ups not only did nothing about it but secretly encouraged it, similar to what happened in Poland and the Soviet Union during WW2.

Yes, you implied that, because that's what you wrote. Your title clearly says the American Army is capable of mass rapes, by which you are saying the American Army has ordered it's soldiers to go around raping people.

prasp wrote on Wed, 16 January 2008 02:11Quote:In future, please ensure the title of your topic is relevant to the actual post you make, and please refrain from being a complete and utter twat. Thank you.

Why don't you stop being sheep?

I am not even sure what your talking about here....
