
Subject: Re: Election '08

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 21:15:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jecht wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 09:01Dover wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 07:40Jecht wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 02:08You tell me. I hate guessing games

That since atrocities were more common in ages past, their value in determining the worth the worth of a government now lessens the more years have passed since the atrocity being committed.

No offense, but I already knew that. Obviously if bad things happen less, the government is better. The question on whether something is "bad" or not is truly subjective, but not necessarily confined.

I was pretty sure you already knew that, which is why I expected you to say it, and not me.

This is relevant because of MWright967 wrote earlier, challenging this thread to name governments that had not committed atrocities (Making it sound as if NOT committing crimes against humanity is somehow difficult, or a skill that must be mastered). The answers provided included Switzerland, and basically all of Scandinavia. His rebuttle pointed out "atrocities" committed by Swedish Vikings, thus leading to the point I made above:
"That since atrocities were more common in ages past, their value in determining the worth the worth of a government now lessens the more years have passed since the atrocity being committed"

MWright967 wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 06:38Jesus Christ, shut the fuck up about the atrocities. I don't even get what the argument is about anymore....

I just gave you a summary in my response to Jecht. Do you not want to continue this because it's another "I guess I'll be the man" moments?
