
Subject: Re: US bashing (I strike back)

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 21:03:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 18:46 Oh boy, 2 nukes that ended a war.

Which happen to be the only two nukes ever used in a war.

Was the second one nessessary, even? It was dropped a mere three days after the first. Given typical government beurocracy, poor (Relative to today) international communincation, and seeing how it was during a total war, I don't see how that's a justifiable amount of time if it was a purely diplomatic move. So what does tell me? The US had two nukes at the time, and god dammit it's going to use two nukes!

R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 18:46 preparing a nuke to be fired with no prior warning and with no reason to fire in the first place: That is offensively. Going out of a countries way to spread what it can do.

If a country is attacked first, and uses a nuke to retaliate, that is defensively. A nuke sitting on a plane isn't being prepared to fire, it is just taking the first step in retaliation, shall it occur.

To my understanding, the nukes were never "prepared to fire with no prior warning and no reason to fire to begin with". I don't even think they made it all the way to Cuba.

Sn1per74* wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 20:16 Where are you from Dover?

Bulgaria. And yourself?

Starbuzz wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 21:59 (Insert Epic Win here)

PlastoJoe wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 23:34 I've seen this happen and work.

So have I. I've done it personally several times.

But now imagine the server has Friendly Fire turned on, and nukes work (somewhat more) realistically. But servers usually don't turn Friendly Fire on for that same reason, huh?

I suppose a more common example would be use Demolition Trucks to defend from enemy rushes in Red Alert: A Path Beyond (Or regular Red Alert, for that matter).

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 11:49 I never said that the US couldn't trust other countries, I just said that it can only trust in itself 100%.

There is a skill called empathy. One popular use for it involves putting yourself in another person's shoes and examining the situation from their perspective, then combining your finds with your perspective to create a more complete picture and to foster mutual understanding and comradery.

Sure, the US thinks it can trust in itself 100%.

But the US isn't the only part of the equation here. The USSR probably (Read: Definatly) had a similar mindset. "We can trust ourselves, but can we trust those crazy Americans? Look what they did to Japan!"

Is it really that hard to fathom? Are you so rooted in what you know and are comfortable with?

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 11:49It is the same as trusting a convicted murderer with a gun to stand next to you and not kill you. There is no way to prove that what they say is what they are going to go by. Just like you have no way to prove that what the US says is what they are going to go by. The only thing you can trust in is yourself. That is why I said we can trust ourselves, but not others. Just like you can trust yourselves but not others.

Except, you know, the Soviet Union can't be compared to convicted murderers.

Oh, and I just noticed this:

Muad Dib15 wrote on Mon, 14 January 2008 16:52You know what Dover, if you don't like this country, go back where you came from. I hate people like you that just bash our country when a) they live here and b) they aren't citizens yet/ are illegal immigrants.

Why do you think the last paragraph is complete bullshit? Is it because you don't like the fact that everything in there is completely true? We would have been completely content in staying isolationist, and because of the Japs we came into WW2. You say that the US is the epitome of evil, yet you fucking hypocrites continue to live here because you like the way you can do stuff here without getting in trouble. Would you be fine with the Soviet Union taking on the world out of desperation to save it, because it is completely screwed because they tried to stay afloat using communism? Why do you think the world has such good relations with the biggest and potentially most dangerous country in the world? Nixon. Guess what, Nixon was an American. What would you European leftists do if China attacked us?

"Oh, look. Our country has just been nuked. Lets go over to the Chinese and tell them to stop shooting missiles at us because that's not nice." That doesn't work. Look at what happened in 1939 because of that attitude.

Your pacifism sickens me.

Lol.

If you could please direct me to where I said I didn't like this country, or to where I said the US were the epitome of evil.

Also, the US was involved (out of combat) in WW2 far before Pearl Harbor, giving large amounts of money and military equipment to European allies. Don't get me wrong--There's nothing wrong with that, but don't pull the isolationist bullshit.

Oh, and Nixon was a douchebag.
